arXiv:0903.2248v1 [math.AT] 12 Mar 2009

On the Taylor Tower of Relative K-theory

Ayelet Lindenstrauss
Department of Mathematics
Indiana University
Bloomington IN 47405
`ayelet@math.indiana.edu`

Randy McCarthy*
Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana IL 61801
`randy@math.uiuc.edu`

0. Introduction

Tom Goodwillie conjectured that one could define a Hochschild homology theory for rings where the tensors are replaced by 'tensors' over the sphere spectrum, and get an invariant which would agree with the stable K-theory of the ring. This theory, now known as topological Hochschild homology and denoted by THH, was defined by Marcel Bökstedt [B], and in [D], Bjørn Dundas proved that it agrees, as conjectured, with stable K-theory.

The definition of the stable K-theory of a ring R is a shift by one dimension of the definition of the first derivative at a point, in the sense of Goodwillie's calculus of functors, of the functor which sends a pointed simplicial set X to the spectrum $K(R\ltimes\tilde{R}[X])$. (Here $\tilde{R}[X]$ is the simplicial abelian group which has the free R-module on X_n modulo $R\cdot *$ in dimension n; $\ltimes$ denotes the trivial square-zero extension, with zero multiplication on the adjoined ideal.) The proof incorporates Waldhausen's S-construction to show the topological Hochschild homology is also a shift by one dimension of the derivative of the functor $K(R\ltimes\tilde{R}[-])$.

Our goal in this paper is to describe the full Taylor tower of the functor $K(R\ltimes\tilde{R}[-])$. As in [DMc1], it turns out that the method in fact applies for studying $K(R\ltimes\tilde{M}[-])$ for any R-bimodule M, but that the more natural object to construct a Taylor tower for is algebraic K-theory with coefficients in a bimodule, introduced there and reviewed in Section 9 below. In Theorem 4.1 of [DMc1], the authors define a natural weak equivalence

$$K(R;B_{.}M)\xrightarrow{\simeq}K(R\ltimes M)$$

which (since all the invariants we discuss for simplicial bi-modules are calculated levelwise) means that

$$K(R;\tilde{M}[\Sigma X])\simeq K(R\ltimes\tilde{M}[X]) \tag{0.1}$$

---

* Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 03-06429

for any space X. We therefore study the functor $K(R;\tilde{M}[-])$, which by functoriality is readily decomposed $K(R;\tilde{M}[-]) \simeq K(R) \times \tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[-])$ (see, again, the beginning of Section 9). By [DMc1], the best 'linear' (excisive) approximation of the functor $\tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[-])$ at the one-point space $*$ is $\mathrm{THH}(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[-])$.

In [BHM], Marcel Bökstedt, Wu-Chung Hsiang, and Ib Madsen show that the topological Hochschild homology for a functor with smash product F is a Connes cyclic object, with respect to levelwise cyclic rotation of the coordinates. By the theory of Connes cyclic objects, this gives an S^1-action on $\mathrm{THH}(F)$, and for any positive n, the restriction of this action to the cyclic group $C_ns \subset S^1$ acts simplicially on the n'th edgewise subdivision, $\mathrm{sd}^n\mathrm{THH}(F)$. Introducing an F-bimodule P in one of the coordinates when we look at topological Hochschild homology with coefficients $\mathrm{THH}(F;P)$ spoils the Connes cyclic action. However, in Section 2 below we define $U^n(F;P)$ to be an analog of $\mathrm{sd}^n\mathrm{THH}(F)$ with n bimodule coefficient coordinates, and we get the same C_n action as one gets on $\mathrm{sd}^n\mathrm{THH}(F)$ (what is lost is that $\mathrm{sd}^n\mathrm{THH}(F)$ is a simplicial spectrum whose realization is homeomorphic to that of $\mathrm{THH}(F)$ and this is not true for $U^n(F;P)$ and $U^1(F;P) = \mathrm{THH}(F;P)$). Note that the construction we generalize is not Bökstedt's original construction of the topological Hochschild homology of an FSP but rather the construction from [DMc1], [DMc2] of the topological Hochschild homology of an FSP over a category. This will be important in defining the map from K-theory in Section 9.

As in [BHM], when m divides n we have restriction maps

$$Res^{n/m} : U^n(F;P)^{C_n} \to U^m(F;P)^{C_m}.$$

If one looks at the category $\mathbf{N}^\times$ with objects corresponding to the positive integers and one morphism $n \to m$ whenever m divides n, and at its full subcategory $\{\leq n\}$, in Section 4 we define

$$W_n(F;P) = \operatorname*{holim}_{\{\leq n\}} \; U^m(F;P)^{C_m},$$

$$W(F;P) = \operatorname*{holim}_{\mathbf{N}^\times} \; U^m(F;P)^{C_m}.$$

In [BHM] terminology, $W(F;P)$ is an analog of $TR(F)$ with coefficients in a bimodule.

Our main theorem, Theorem 9.2, states that a natural transformation

$$\beta : \tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[-]) \to W(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[-])$$

which we construct induces an equivalence between the two functors on connected X. Moreover, by Corollary 9.3 $W_n(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[-])$ is the n'th stage of the Goodwillie calculus Taylor tower of the functor $\tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[-])$, with the tower structure maps the same as those induced on the homotopy inverse limits by the restriction of categories from $\{\leq n\}$ to $\{\leq n-1\}$. In other words, $W(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[-])$ is the Goodwillie calculus Taylor tower for the functor $\tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[-])$, and the tower converges for connected X, which are the ones we care most about because of equation (0.1) above.

This equivalence is interesting even for finite sets X, where we use this method, and Lars Hesselholt and Ib Madsen's calculation of $W(\underline{\mathbf{F}_p};\underline{\mathbf{F}_p}) = \mathrm{TR}(\underline{\mathbf{F}_p})$ to completely calculate $\tilde{K}(\mathbf{F}_p \ltimes (\oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{F}_p))_p^\wedge$ in a separate paper.

To obtain this result, we start in Section 5 to use analysis like that done by Goodwillie in [G] for THH and TR to calculate $\mathrm{hofib}[W_n(F;P) \to W_{n-1}(F;P)]$ for a general FSP F and an F-bimodule P. Corollary 5.7 shows that

$$U^n(F;P)_{hC_n} \simeq \mathrm{hofib}[W_n(F;P) \to W_{n-1}(F;P)], \tag{0.2}$$

In Section 8, this analysis is used to show that each layer $\mathrm{hofib}[W_n(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[-]) \to W_{n-1}(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[-])]$ is a homogenous degree n functor (this is basically Corollary 8.2): when $F = \underline{R}$ and $P = \tilde{\underline{M}}[-]$,

$$U^n(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[X]) \simeq U^n(\underline{R};\underline{M}) \wedge (\bigwedge^n X).$$

This implies already that the $W_n(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[-])$ are n-excisive functors which are the n'th stage of the Taylor tower of their homotopy inverse limit $W(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[-])$.

Section 6 contains more properties of U^n and W, specifically results involving the varying of the category over which the invariants are constructed: the category consisting of a single point, the category of finitely generated projective R-modules, iterations of Waldhausen's S-construction on the latter, and intermediate categories used to go between these. This section generalizes results from [DMc2], and the results are used to define the map β of Theorem 9.2.

Section 7 discussed the Goodwillie calculus properties of our functors, most importantly: showing that $W(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[-])$ is 0-analytic (Proposition 7.14). It is already known by Proposition 3.2 of [Mc1] that the functor $\tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[-])$ is 0-analytic as well.

Thus in Section 9, after constructing the natural transformation β, we can use a variant of Goodwillie's Theorem 5.3 from [G2]: it states that if there is a natural transformation between two ρ-analytic functors F and G which induces an equivalence of the differentials at every space X, then for $(\rho+1)$-connected maps $X \to Y$, there is a Cartesian square

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G(X) \arrow[d] \\
F(Y) \arrow[r] & G(Y).
\end{tikzcd}$$

The variant is simply the observation that the proof in [G2] requires an equivalence of the differentials only on ρ-connected X. We will want to apply it for $\rho = 0$, $Y = *$, and our two functors above to get that for 0-connected X (that is: X for which $X \to *$ is 1-connected),

$$\beta : \tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[X]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} W(\underline{R};\tilde{\underline{M}}[X]).$$

It follows from [DMc1] that β induces an equivalence of the differentials at $*$, and the remainder of the paper uses that result to show an equivalence of the differentials at arbitrary 0-connected spaces X. Section 9 concludes by reducing our Main Theorem 9.2 to Technical Lemma 9.4, which states that for a ring R and simplicial R-bimodules M and N with N k-connected, β induces a $2k$-connected map

$$\mathrm{hofib}\big(\tilde{K}(R; B_{\cdot}M \oplus B_{\cdot}N) \to \tilde{K}(R; B_{\cdot}M)\big) \to \mathrm{hofib}\big(W(\underline{R}; \underline{B_{\cdot}M} \oplus \underline{B_{\cdot}N}) \to W(\underline{R}; \underline{B_{\cdot}M})\big).$$

(The lemma is actually stated in terms of $W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; -)$ rather than $W(\underline{R}; -)$, but the two agree by Proposition 6.13.)

The final two sections of the paper prove Technical Lemma 9.4. The basic strategy is to write

$$K(R; B_{\cdot}M \oplus B_{\cdot}N) \simeq K(R \ltimes (M \oplus N) = K((R \ltimes M) \ltimes N) \simeq K(R \ltimes M; B_{\cdot}N)$$

and observe that by [DMc1], the homotopy fiber of the map from this to $K(R \ltimes M) \simeq K(R; B_{\cdot}M)$, this admits a $2k$-connected map to $\mathrm{THH}(\underline{R \ltimes M}; \underline{B_{\cdot}N})$. Using the multilinearity of each $\mathrm{THH}_r(\underline{R \ltimes M}; \underline{B_{\cdot}N})$ in the r FSP coordinates, and careful analysis of dimensions, in Corollary 10.5 we obtain a decomposition

$$THH(\underline{R \ltimes M}; \underline{B.N}) \simeq \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U^a(\underline{R}; \underline{B.M}, \ldots, \underline{B.M}, \underline{B.N}).$$

It is much easier to see that up to order $2k+1$, $W(\underline{R}; \underline{B_{\cdot}M} \oplus \underline{B_{\cdot}N})$ also decomposes into the same product; this is Lemma 10.3.

Thus Section 10 establishes the plausibility of Technical Lemma 9.4, by demonstrating that the domain and range there are indeed equivalent up to dimension $2k$. Section 11 then traces the actual map β through, to show that *it* induces a $2k$-equivalence, as desired.

1. Functors with Smash Products

Roughly speaking, we want to define $U^n(R; M)$ to be a simplicial spectrum with $C_n = \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}$ action obtained by taking various smash products of the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra of R and M. What the homotopy type of a smash product of spectra should be has long been understood and there are several different models for these which are equivalent as spectra. However, the iteration of these constructions is generally only associative up to homotopy. For simplicial constructions this is not suitable since it is not sufficient to have the simplicial identities only up to homotopy. The solution to this problem that we will follow is by M. Bökstedt ([B]). His construction involves the notion of a functor with smash product (FSP). We will actually be needing a straightforward generalization of Bökstedt's original construction suitable for categories which was developed in [DMc2].

Let $\mathcal{S}_*$ denote the category of pointed simplicial sets.

DEFINITION 1.1: A *functor with stabilization* is a functor F from $\mathcal{S}_*$ to $\mathcal{S}_*$ together with a natural transformation

$$\lambda_{X,Y} : X \wedge F(Y) \longrightarrow F(X \wedge Y)$$

such that

i) $\lambda_{S^0,X} : S^0 \wedge F(X) \to F(S^0 \wedge X)$ is the obvious isomorphism for all $X \in S_*$

ii) $\lambda_{X,Y\wedge Z} \circ (id_X \wedge \lambda_{Y,Z}) = \lambda_{X\wedge Y,Z}$ for all $X, Y, Z \in S_*$.

iii) If X is n–connected, then $F(X)$ is n–connected.

iv) Let $\sigma_X : F(X) \longrightarrow \Omega F(\Sigma X)$ be the adjoint to $\lambda_{S^1,X}$. Then the following limit system stabilizes for each n:

$$\pi_n|F(X)| \xrightarrow{\sigma_X} \pi_n\Omega|F(\Sigma X)| \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\Sigma X}} \pi_n\Omega^2|F(\Sigma^2 X)| \longrightarrow \cdots$$

Apology: Our definition of a functor with stabilization is not as general as some would like as they will always be connective. The above definition is suitable for the applications here and we apologize to anyone who will need to make the many technical modifications if they need to use related results for non-connective FSP's.

DEFINITION 1.2: Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a set. A *functor with stabilization over* $\mathcal{O}$ is a functor F from $\mathcal{S}_* \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ to $\mathcal{S}_*$, such that for all $A, B \in obj(\mathcal{O})$, $F_{A,B}(\ )$ is a functor with stabilization, where we use the notations $F_{A,B}(X)$ and $F(A,B)(X)$ as alternative notations for $F(X, A, B)$.

For F a functor with stabilization, we let $\mathbf{F}$ be the spectrum with $\mathbf{F}(m) = F(S^m)$ and structure maps given by σ_{S^m} of (iii). We call $\mathbf{F}$ the *spectrum associated to* F. We let $\pi_i(F) = \pi_i\mathbf{F} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \pi_i\Omega^n F(S^n)$. We say that F is n*-connected* if $\pi_i(F) = 0$ for $i \le n$. Thus by condition (ii), every functor with stabilization is -1-connected and hence bounded below. A functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{A}$ is n-connected if for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, $F_{A,B}$ is n-connected.

For F a functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{O}$ and F' a functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{O}'$, a morphism $\eta : F \to F'$ is a set map $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$ and natural transformations of functors with stabilization $F_{A,B} \xrightarrow{\eta_{A,B}} F'_{\eta(A),\eta(B)}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$.

DEFINITION 1.3: A *functor with smash product over $\mathcal{O}$* (or just FSP) is a functor F with stabilization over $\mathcal{O}$ together with natural transformations for all $A, B, C \in \mathcal{O}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{A;X} :& X \longrightarrow F_{A,A}(X) \\ \mu_{A,B,C;X,Y} :& F_{B,C}(X) \wedge F_{A,B}(Y) \longrightarrow F_{A,C}(X \wedge Y) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\mu \wedge id) =& \mu(id \wedge \mu) \\ \mu(1_{A;X} \wedge 1_{A;Y}) =& 1_{A;X\wedge Y} \\ \lambda_{A,B;X,Y} =& \mu_{A,A,B;X,Y}(1_{A;X} \wedge id_{F_{A,B}(Y)}) \\ \rho_{A,B;X,Y} =& \mu_{A,A,B;X,Y} \circ (id_{F_{A,B}(X)} \wedge 1_{A;Y}) \end{aligned}$$

For F and F' FSP's over $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$ respectively, a morphism η from F to F' as FSP's is a set map $\tilde{\eta} : \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$ and morphisms of functors with stabilizations $F_{A,B} \xrightarrow{\eta_{A,B}} F'_{\tilde{\eta}(A),\tilde{\eta}(B)}$ which strictly commute with the natural transformations μ and μ'. We have not assumed a morphism of FSP's preserves the unit. We will say a morphism is *unital* if it does.

Examples: For the applications in these notes, we will primarily be interested in the following type of FSP. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a *linear* category (its Hom sets are abelian groups and composition is bilinear). For any two objects $A, B \in obj(\mathcal{A})$, we define the FSP $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$(X, A, B) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X] = \mathbf{Z}[X]/\mathbf{Z}[*]$. The multiplication is given by sending smash to tensor followed by composition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(B, C)(X) \wedge \mathcal{A}(A, B)(Y) &\to (\mathcal{A}(B, C) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{A}(A, B)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X \wedge Y] \\ &\to \mathcal{A}(A, C)(X \wedge Y) \end{aligned}$$

and the unit at any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by the inclusion

$$X \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(A, A)(X)$$

$$x \mapsto id_A \otimes 1 \cdot x$$

For F an FSP over $\mathcal{O}$, we can form a linear category with objects the set $\mathcal{O}$ and whose Hom sets are $\pi_0 F_{A,B}$. In this way every small linear category can be thought of as a special case of an FSP.

For $\mathcal{B}$ any category, we can form an FSP over $obj(\mathcal{B})$ by

$$(X, A, B) \mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{B}}(A, B)_+ \wedge X$$

(where ${}_+$ denotes a disjoint basepoint). Conversely, given F an FSP over $\mathcal{O}$ we can form a category with objects the set $\mathcal{O}$ whose Hom sets are the set (obtained by forgetting the topology) of $F_{A,B}(S^0)$.

DEFINITION 1.4: Let F be an FSP over $\mathcal{O}$ and T a functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{O}$. A *structure of left F–module* on T is a natural transformation

$$l_{A,B,C;X,Y} : F_{B,C}(X) \wedge T_{A,B}(Y) \longrightarrow T_{A,C}(X \wedge Y)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} l(\mu \wedge id) =& l(id \wedge l) \\ \lambda_{A,B;X,Y} =& l_{A,B,B;X,Y}(1_{B;X} \wedge id_{T_{A,B}(Y)}) \end{aligned}$$

The notion of right F–module is defined similarly.

Example: If we write id for the identity FSP (sending X to X at any $A \in \mathcal{O}$), then the category of functors with stabilization over $\mathcal{O}$ is isomorphic to the category of right (left) id–modules.

DEFINITION 1.5: A *bimodule* over F is a functor T with stabilization over $\mathcal{O}$ together with a structure of left and right module over F such that

$$l_{A,C,D;X,Y\wedge Z}(id_{F_{C,D}(X)} \wedge r_{A,B,C;Y,Z}) = r_{A,B,D;X\wedge Y,Z}(l_{B,C,D;X,Y} \wedge id_{F_{A,B}(Z)})$$

where r is the structure of right module over T.

For F and F' FSP's over $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}'$, respectively, and P and P' bimodules of F and F', a *map* $(f; g)$ from $(F; P)$ to $(F'; P')$ is a pair of morphisms such that:

(i) $f : F \to F'$ is a unital map of FSP's

(ii) $g : P \to P'$ is a map of functors with stabilization over the same set map $\mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{O}'$ as f, which is a map of F–bimodules if we use f to make P' into an F–bimodule

Examples:

(i) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a linear category and T any bilinear functor from $\mathcal{A}^{op} \times \mathcal{A}$ to abelian groups. We can form the bimodule $\underline{T}$ of $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ by

$$(A, B, X) \mapsto T(A, B) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X].$$

(ii) In particular, if G_1 and G_2 are two functors of linear categories $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, we can form the $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$-bimodule

$$(A, B, X) \mapsto \mathcal{B}(G_1(A), G_2(B)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X].$$

(iii) If F is an FSP over $\mathcal{O}$, P an F-bimodule, and $Y_{\cdot}$ a finite pointed simplicial set, we can construct another F-bimodule $P \otimes Y$ by letting

$$(P \otimes Y)(A, B)(X) = |P(A, B)(X) \wedge Y_{\cdot}|$$

with F acting through its action on P; all we are doing here is taking a smash product with the realization of $Y_{\cdot}$.

(iv) In the same setting as (iii), if we know that for every $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ and $X \in \mathcal{S}_*$, $F(A, B)(X)$ and $P(A, B)(X)$ have abelian group structures compatible with all the FSP and bimodule structure maps, then we can construct yet another F-bimodule $\tilde{P}[Y]$ by letting

$$\tilde{P}[Y](A, B)(X) = P(A, B)(X) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[Y].$$

The F-bimodule structure, as before, involves only P. In degree n, $(P \otimes Y)(A, B)(X)$ has $P(A, B)(X) \wedge Y_n$, and $\tilde{P}[Y](A, B)(X)$ has $P(A, B)(X)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[Y_n]$; thus there is an inclusion map $P \otimes Y \hookrightarrow \tilde{P}[Y]$. Note that it induces a stable equivalence on the associated spectra (stabilizing, of course, in the X coordinate. The Y coordinate is part of the definition of the bimodule).

2. The construction of U^n

Notation: Let I be the category whose objects are the natural numbers considered as ordered sets ($n = \{1 < 2 < \cdots < n\}$) and whose morphisms are all injective maps. For any $X \in I$ we denote by $|X|$ the cardinality of X and for any $\underline{X} = (X_0, \ldots, X_j) \in I^{j+1}$ we let $\sqcup \underline{X}$ denote $X_0 \sqcup X_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup X_j$, where $\sqcup$ means *concatenation*.

For E a functor from the small category $\mathcal{C}$ to pointed spaces, we let $\operatorname{hocolim}_{C \in \mathcal{C}} E(C)$ be a functorial choice for constructing the homotopy colimit of the functor E. We recall the following lemma of Bökstedt (see [B], [M]).

LEMMA: Let $\mathbf{N}$ be any subcategory of I with the same set of objects but with exactly one morphism between any pair of objects n and m where $n \leq m$. Let G be a functor from I^{j+1} to spaces. If the connectivity of the maps $G(n_0, \ldots, n_j) \to G(m_0, \ldots, m_j)$ for maps in I^{j+1} tends to infinity uniformly with Σn_i, then the inclusion $\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathbf{N}^{j+1}} G \to \operatorname{hocolim}_{I^{j+1}} G$ is a (weak) homotopy equivalence.

Example 2.1: We note that there is a functor from I to pointed spaces given by sending the ordered finite set X to S^X–the $|X|$–sphere obtained by smashing together copies of S^1 indexed by the elements of X. Given any functor with stabilization F, we can define a functor from I to pointed spaces by sending the ordered set X to $Map(S^X, F(S^X))$.

Given an injective map $X \xrightarrow{\alpha} Y$, let β be some isomorphism of Y such that $\alpha = \beta \circ inc$ where inc is the ordered inclusion of X into the first $|X|$-terms of Y. The map α_* from $Map(S^X, F(S^X))$ to $Map(S^Y, F(S^Y))$ is given by taking $f \in Map(S^X, F(S^X))$ to the composite

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^Y \arrow[rr, "\alpha_*(f)"] \arrow[d, "\beta^{-1}"] & & F(S^Y) \\
S^X \wedge S^{Y\setminus X} \arrow[r, "f\wedge id"] & F(S^X) \wedge S^{Y\setminus X} \arrow[r, "\lambda"] & F(S^X \wedge S^{Y\setminus X}) \arrow[u, "F(\beta)"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notation: Let $\vec{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ where the k_i are nonnegative integers. We set

$$I^{\vec{k}+n} = I^{k_1+1} \times \cdots \times I^{k_n+1}$$

and for $\underline{X} \in I^{\vec{k}+n}$ and (i, j) integers such that $0 \leq j \leq k_i$ we let $X_{i,j} \in I$ be in the $j+1$-st position of the I^{k_i} component of $\underline{X}$. We let

$$\mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n} = \mathcal{A}^{k_1+1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}^{k_n+1}$$

and for $\underline{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}$ and (i, j) integers such that $0 \leq j \leq k_i$ we let $A_{i,j}$ be in the $j+1$-st position of the $\mathcal{A}^{k_i+1}$ component of $\underline{A}$.

Definition 2.2: Let F be an FSP over a skeletally small category $\mathcal{A}$ and let $P(1), \ldots, P(n)$ be a sequence of F-bimodules. We define the functor V from $I^{\vec{k}+n} \times \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}$ to spaces by setting $V(\underline{X}; \underline{A})$ to be:

$$\begin{array}{c}
P(1)(A_{1,1}, A_{1,0})(S^{X_{1,0}}) \wedge F(A_{1,2}, A_{1,1})(S^{X_{1,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{2,0}, A_{1,k_1})(S^{X_{1,k_1}}) \wedge \\
P(2)(A_{2,1}, A_{2,0})(S^{X_{2,0}}) \wedge F(A_{2,2}, A_{2,1})(S^{X_{2,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{3,0}, A_{2,k_2})(S^{X_{2,k_2}}) \wedge \\
\vdots \quad \vdots \\
P(n)(A_{n,1}, A_{n,0})(S^{X_{n,0}}) \wedge F(A_{n,2}, A_{n,1})(S^{X_{n,1}}) \wedge \cdots \wedge F(A_{1,0}, A_{n,k_n})(S^{X_{n,k_n}}).
\end{array}$$

Also, we set

$$V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{A}) = \bigvee_{\underline{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(\underline{X}; \underline{A}).$$

If $\mathcal{A}$ is not itself small, we use $\mathcal{A}$'s skeleton rather than $\mathcal{A}$ itself here. In light of example 6.3 below, which says that for a small category $\mathcal{A}$, U^n taken over a subcategory which is equivalent to all of $\mathcal{A}$ is C_n-equivariantly homotopy equivalent to U^n taken over $\mathcal{A}$, this should not lead to problems when we map between small and large categories.

Notation: Given any two pointed spaces S and T, we write $\underline{Map}(S, T)$ for the functor with stabilization given by sending X to $Map(S, X \wedge T)$.

Definition 2.3: Let F be an FSP over a category $\mathcal{A}$ and let $P(1), \ldots, P(n)$ be a sequence of F-bimodules. We use the above construction of $\underline{Map}$ to define an n-simplicial functor with stabilization $U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n))$, which is given in simplicial dimension $\vec{k} = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ by:

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X} \in I^{\vec{k}+n}} G_{\vec{k}}(\underline{X}),$$

where

$$G_{\vec{k}}(\underline{X}) = \underline{Map}\left((S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}), \bigvee_{\underline{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(\underline{X}; \underline{A}) \right) = \underline{Map}\left((S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}), V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{A}) \right).$$

The stabilization maps for the homotopy colimit are given like those in example 2.1. The face operators are induced by the natural transformations (let $d(i)_j$ be the j-th face map in the i-th simplicial dimension):

$$d(i)_j : G_{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)} \longrightarrow G_{(k_1, \ldots, k_j - 1, \ldots, k_n)} \circ \partial(i)_j$$

where $\partial(i)_j : I^{(k_1, \ldots, k_n)} \to I^{(k_1, \ldots, k_j - 1, \ldots, k_n)}$ is the functor

$$\partial(i)_j(\underline{X}) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} X_{1,0}, \ldots, X_{1,k_1} \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ X_{i,0}, \ldots, X_{i,j} \sqcup X_{i,j+1}, \ldots, X_{i,k_i} \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ X_{n,0}, \ldots, X_{n,k_n} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } 0 \leq j < n \\ \begin{pmatrix} X_{1,0}, \ldots, X_{1,k_1} \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ X_{i,0}, \ldots, X_{i,k_{i-1}} \\ X_{i,k_i} \sqcup X_{i+1,0}, \ldots, X_{i+1,k_{i+1}} \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ X_{n,0}, \ldots, X_{n,k_n} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } i \neq n \\ \begin{pmatrix} X_{n,k_n} \sqcup X_{1,0}, \ldots, X_{1,k_1} \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ X_{n,0}, \ldots, X_{n,k_{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } j = n \text{ and } i = n \end{cases}$$

and

$$d(i)_j(\underline{X}) = \begin{cases} (1) & \text{if } j = 0 \\ (2) & \text{if } 0 < j < k_i \\ (3) & \text{if } j = k_i \text{ and } i \neq n \\ (4) & \text{if } j = k_n \text{ and } i = n \end{cases}$$

where (1)—(4) are determined (in increasing order) by $\underline{Map}(S^{\underline{X}}, \ \ )$ of

$$\begin{pmatrix} P(1)(A_{1,1},A_{1,0})(S^{X_{1,0}}) & \wedge F(A_{1,2},A_{1,1})(S^{X_{1,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{2,0},A_{1,k_1})(S^{X_{1,k_1}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ r(i) & \wedge F(A_{i,3},A_{i,2})(S^{X_{i,2}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{i+1,0},A_{i,k_i})(S^{X_{i,k_i}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ P(n)(A_{n,1},A_{n,0})(S^{X_{n,0}}) & \wedge F(A_{n,2},A_{n,1})(S^{X_{n,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{1,0},A_{n,k_n})(S^{X_{n,k_n}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P(1)(A_{1,1},A_{1,0})(S^{X_{1,0}}) \wedge & \ldots\ldots\ldots & \wedge F(A_{2,0},A_{1,k_1})(S^{X_{1,k_1}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P(i)(A_{i,1},A_{i,0})(S^{X_{i,0}}) \wedge & \ldots \wedge F(A_{i,j},A_{i,j-1})(S^{X_{i,j-1}}) \wedge \mu \wedge \ldots & \wedge F(A_{i+1,0},A_{i,k_i})(S^{X_{i,k_i}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P(n)(A_{n,1},A_{n,0})(S^{X_{n,0}}) \wedge & \ldots\ldots\ldots & \wedge F(A_{1,0},A_{n,k_n})(S^{X_{n,k_n}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} P(1)(A_{1,1},A_{1,0})(S^{X_{1,0}}) & \wedge F(A_{1,2},A_{1,1})(S^{X_{1,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{2,0},A_{1,k_1})(S^{X_{1,k_1}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ P(i)(A_{i,1},A_{i,0})(S^{X_{i,0}}) & \wedge F(A_{i,2},A_{i,1})(S^{X_{i,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{i,k_i},A_{i,k_i-1})(S^{X_{i,k_i-1}}) \wedge \\ l(i+1) & \wedge F(A_{i+1,2},A_{i+1,1})(S^{X_{i+1,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{i+2,0},A_{i+1,k_{i+1}})(S^{X_{i+1,k_{i+1}}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ P(n)(A_{n,1},A_{n,0})(S^{X_{n,0}}) & \wedge F(A_{n,2},A_{n,1})(S^{X_{n,1}}) \wedge \cdots \wedge F(A_{1,0},A_{n,k_n})(S^{X_{n,k_n}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} l(1) & \wedge F(A_{1,2},A_{1,1})(S^{X_{1,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{2,0},A_{1,k_1})(S^{X_{1,k_1}}) \wedge \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ P(n)(A_{n,1},A_{n,0})(S^{X_{n,0}}) & \wedge F(A_{n,2},A_{n,1})(S^{X_{n,1}}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{n,k_n},A_{n,k_n-1})(S^{X_{n,k_n-1}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $r(j)$ and $l(j)$ are the right and left actions of $P(j)$, μ is the product of F and both (3) and (4) are after composing with the necessary shuffle isomorphism of $I^{\vec{k}+n}$. The degeneracy maps are defined similarly using the unit of F.

The construction of $\hat{\otimes}_F$

We now define a construction which will be useful but can be ignored by the reader until it is needed. Given an FSP F over a category $\mathcal{A}$, and a left F-module P and a right F-module Q, we define a simplicial functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{A}$, $P\hat{\otimes}_F Q$, as follows:

Given $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$, we define for each $k \in I$ a functor $W_{B,C}$ from $I^{k+2} \times \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ to spaces by setting $W_{B,C}(\underline{X}; \underline{A})$ to be:

$$P(A_1, B)(S^{X_0}) \wedge F(A_2, A_1)(S^{X_1}) \wedge \ldots \wedge F(A_{k+1}, A_k)(S^{X_k}) \wedge Q(C, A_{k+1})(S^{X_{k+1}})$$

Definition 2.3: We define $P\hat{\otimes}_F Q$ to be the simplicial functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{A}$ defined on $B, C \in \mathcal{A}$ in simplicial dimension $[k]$ by:

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X} \in I^{k+2}} \underline{Map}\left((S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}), \bigvee_{\underline{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{k+1}} W_{B,C}(\underline{X}; \underline{A}) \right)$$

The stabilization maps for the homotopy colimit are given like those in example 2.1.

The face operators are induced by natural transformations like those for $U^1(F; P)$. Rather then repeat all the notation, we will describe these operators in words. We first note that in each dimension k, for any $A_0, A_{k+2} \in \mathcal{A}$, $P\hat{\otimes}_F F(A_0, A_{k+2})_{[k]}$ is a summand of $U^1(F; P)_{[k+1]}$. The restrictions of $d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_k$ and $s_0, s_1, \ldots s_k$ to $P\hat{\otimes}_F F(A_0, A_{k+2})_{[k]}$ send it to the summand $P\hat{\otimes}_F F(A_0, A_{k+2})_{[k-1]}$ inside $U^1(F; P)_{[k]}$, and make $P\hat{\otimes}_F F$ into a simplicial functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{A}$. Now we note that we can replace F in the role of a left module over itself by an arbitrary left F-module Q in this construction, to obtain a simplicial object that we define to be $P\hat{\otimes}_F Q$.

Remarks 2.4: We now collect a few facts about $\hat{\otimes}_F$ whose proofs are straightforward and left for the interested reader. If P and Q are F-bimodules, then $P\hat{\otimes}_F Q$ is naturally a simplicial F-bimodule. Since the construction $\hat{\otimes}_F$ is natural, we can iterate it to form $P\hat{\otimes}_F Q\hat{\otimes}_F R$, a bi-simplicial F-bimodule. If $f : P \to P'$ is a map of right F-bimodules which is an equivalence, then $f\hat{\otimes}_F id_Q$ is an equivalence also (by the realization lemma). Right multiplication induces a map of simplicial right F-modules $P\hat{\otimes}_F F \to P$ (where P is the trivial multi-simplicial object with structure maps all equal to the identity) which is an equivalence (a simplicial contraction is given by the unused degeneracy operator in $U^1(F; P)$).

Definition 2.5: We define $\hat{\otimes}_F^n$ to be the functor from F-bimodules to n–fold simplicial F–bimodules given by:

$$\overbrace{P\hat{\otimes}_F \cdots \hat{\otimes}_F P}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

Lemma 2.6: There is a homotopy equivalence of n–fold simplicial functors with stabilization

$$U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n)) \longrightarrow U^{n-1}(F; P(1)\hat{\otimes}_F P(2), P(3), \ldots, P(n))$$

By iteration of this equivalence, there is for each $m|n$ an equivalence α_m of n–fold simplicial functors with stabilization

$$U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_m} U^{n/m}(F; Q(1), \ldots, Q(n/m))$$

where $Q(i) = P(m(i-1)+1)\hat{\otimes}_F \cdots \hat{\otimes}_F P(m(i-1)+m)$.

Proof: This is a formal consequence of the definitions, using the fact that homotopy colimits commute with one another and the fact that suspension

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X}\in I^{\vec{k}+n}} \underline{Map}\left(S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}, \bigvee_{\underline{A}\in \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(\underline{X};\underline{A})\right)$$

$$\longrightarrow \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X}\in I^{\vec{k}+n}} \underline{Map}\left(S^{\sqcup \underline{X}} \wedge S^Y, \bigvee_{\underline{A}\in \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(\underline{X};\underline{A}) \wedge S^Y\right)$$

induces a homotopy equivalence when evaluated at any space.

3. First properties of U^n

We now establish some elementary first properties of U^n. Since for a fixed FSP F over a category $\mathcal{O}$, a morphism of F-bimodules is just a map of functors with stabilization at each $(A, B) \in \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ which strictly commutes with the left and right actions of F, it follows immediately from the definitions that $U^n(F; \ )$ is a functor from the n-fold product category of F-bimodules to spectra. The U^n are also functorial in the FSP variable but the statement is slightly messy and left to the interested reader.

LEMMA 3.1: If $f(i) : P(i) \to P'(i)$ is an m–connected map of bimodules, then the induced map of functors with stabilization

$$U^n(f) : U^n(F; \ldots, P(i), \ldots) \longrightarrow U^n(F; \ldots, P'(i), \ldots)$$

is (at least) m–connected.

Proof: Since the associated spectrum of U^n is an Ω-spectra (the structure maps $\Omega E_n \to E_{n+1}$ are homotopy equivalences) it suffices to show $U^n(f)(S^0)$ is m–connected. A map of simplicial spaces which is m–connected in each simplicial dimension is m–connected upon realization (essentially because homotopy colimits preserve connectivity) and hence it suffices to show that $U^n(f)(0)_{[k]}$ is m–connected for all $k \geq 0$. If $f : X \to X'$ is a q–connected map of (pointed) spaces, then $id \wedge f : Y \wedge X \to Y \wedge X'$ is $p+q+1$–connected for any p–connected space Y. Assume for convenience that $i = 1$. Consider the homotopy equivalent rewriting

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X}\in I^{\vec{k}+n}} Map\left(S^{\sqcup\underline{X}}, \bigvee_{\underline{A}\in\mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(\underline{X};\underline{A})\right) \simeq$$

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X'}\in I^{k_1}\times I^{k_2+1}\times\cdots\times I^{k_n+1}} \; \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X_{1,0}\in I} Map\left(S^{X_{1,0}\sqcup\underline{X}'}, \bigvee_{\underline{A}\in\mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(X_{1,0}\sqcup\underline{X};\underline{A})\right).$$

By our previous observations and the fact that our functors with stabilization take n–connected spaces to n–connected spaces, we see that the map induced by $f(i)$ on

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{X_{1,0}\in I} Map\left(S^{X_{1,0}\sqcup\underline{X}'}; \bigvee_{\underline{A}\in\mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} V(X_{1,0}\sqcup\underline{X};\underline{A})\right)$$

will be m–connected. The result follows from the fact that homotopy colimits preserve connectivity.

Corollary 3.2: The functor U^n is a reduced homotopy functor in each variable i separately.

Constructing Ω*-FSP's*: It will often be useful to replace a given functor with stabilization F by an equivalent one (that is: an FSP whose associated spectrum is stably equivalent to that of the original FSP) whose associated spectrum is an Ω-spectrum. Define $\Omega^\infty F$ to be the new functor with stabilization defined by

$$\Omega^\infty F = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X\in I} \underline{Map}(S^X, F(S^X))$$

with $\lambda_{Z,Y}$ defined by the natural composite

$$\begin{aligned}
Z\wedge\Omega^\infty F(Y) = \;& Z\wedge \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X\in I}\; Map\;(S^X, F(Y\wedge S^X))\\
&\cong \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X\in I}\; Z\wedge Map\;(S^X, F(Y\wedge S^X))\\
&\longrightarrow \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X\in I}\; Map\;(S^X, Z\wedge F(Y\wedge S^X))\\
&\xrightarrow{\lambda} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X\in I}\; Map(S^X, F(Z\wedge Y\wedge S^X))\\
&= \;\Omega^\infty F(Z\wedge Y).
\end{aligned}$$

The natural map $F \to \Omega^\infty F$ of functors with stabilization gives a stable equivalence of the associated spectra by condition 1.1 (iii). If F was an FSP, then we can make $\Omega^\infty F$ an FSP by defining μ to be the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega^\infty F(Z) \wedge \Omega^\infty F(Y) \\
&\qquad = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X \in I} Map\ (S^X, F(Z \wedge S^X)) \wedge \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X' \in I} Map\ (S^{X'}, F(Y \wedge S^{X'})) \\
&\qquad \xrightarrow{\alpha} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X, X' \in I \times I}\ Map\ (S^{X \sqcup X'}, F(Z \wedge S^X) \wedge F(Y \wedge S^{X'})) \\
&\qquad \xrightarrow{\mu_F} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X, X' \in I \times I}\ Map\ (S^{X \sqcup X'}, F(Z \wedge S^X \wedge Y \wedge S^{X'})) \\
&\qquad \xrightarrow{\beta} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X, X' \in I \times I}\ Map\ (S^{X \sqcup X'}, F(Z \wedge Y \wedge S^{X \sqcup X'})) \\
&\qquad \xrightarrow{\gamma} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\tilde{X} \in I}\ Map\ (S^{\tilde{X}}, F(Z \wedge Y \wedge S^{\tilde{X}})) \\
&\qquad = \Omega^\infty F(Z \wedge Y)
\end{aligned}$$

where α is obtained by smashing maps, β by switching factors and γ is induced by the concatenation functor $\sqcup : I \times I \to I$. Checking that μ is strictly associative is straightforward and left to the reader. The natural map from F to $\Omega^\infty F$ is an equivalence of (unital) FSP's (which determines the units in $\Omega^\infty F$).

If P is a right/left/bi-module of F, then $\Omega^\infty P$ is again a right/left/bi-module of $\Omega^\infty F$ (defined as we did for FSP's above) and the natural map $P \to \Omega^\infty P$ is a map of right/left/bi-modules.

Corollary 3.3: The natural map of functors with stabilization

$$U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n)) \to U^n(\Omega^\infty F; \Omega^\infty P(1), \ldots, \Omega^\infty P(n))$$

is an equivalence. Thus, we can always replace the FSP and its associated bimodules with equivalent ones whose associated spectra are Ω-spectra.

Lemma 3.4: If each bimodule $P(i)$ is m_i–connected, then $U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n))$ is (at least) $\Sigma_{i=1}^n m_i + (n-1)$ connected.

Proof: It suffices to prove the result after taking Ω^∞ of F and the $P(i)$'s. Thus, we may assume that $P(i)(S^X)$ is $m_i + |X|$–connected for all i and $X \in I$. Recall that if X_i is x_i–connected for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n$ is $\Sigma_{i=1}^n x_i + (n-1)$ connected. Thus, $V(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$ will be $|\underline{X}| + \Sigma_{i=1}^n m_i + (n-1)$ connected for all $\underline{X}$. Since homotopy colimits preserve connectivity, we see that $U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n))$ is (at least) $\Sigma_{i=1}^n m_i + (n-1)$ connected.

For a continuous map of (pointed) spaces $f : X \to Y$, we set:

$$\text{hofib}\,(f) = \text{pullback}\left(\begin{tikzcd} & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ PY \arrow[r, "ev_1"] & Y \end{tikzcd}\right)$$

where PY is the pointed path space of Y ($Map_*([0,1], Y)$) and ev_1 is evaluation of the path at 1. Using the natural homeomorphism $\Omega PY \cong P\Omega Y$, we see that there is a natural homeomorphism $\Omega\text{hofib}\,(f) \cong \text{hofib}\,(\Omega f)$. Given a map $f : \mathbf{E} \to \mathbf{E}'$ of spectra, we let hofib (f) be the spectrum defined by $\text{hofib}\,(f)(n) = \text{hofib}\,(f(n))$ with structure maps given by the composite

$$\Omega\text{hofib}\,(f)(n) \cong \text{hofib}\,(\Omega f(n)) \longrightarrow \text{hofib}\,(f(n+1)).$$

We call a sequence of maps (of spectra) $\mathbf{E}'' \xrightarrow{f''} \mathbf{E} \xrightarrow{f'} \mathbf{E}'$ a *fibration* if $f' \circ f'' = *$ and the map induced by f'' from $\mathbf{E}''$ to hofib (f') is an equivalence.

Definition 3.5: We call a sequence of maps of functors with stabilization

$$F'' \xrightarrow{\alpha} F \xrightarrow{\beta} F'$$

a *fibration* if the associated map of underlying spectra is a fibration. We will call a sequence of maps of bimodules *short exact* if it is a fibration as a sequence of functors with stabilization.

Example: A sequence of abelian groups $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ is short exact if and only if the associated sequence of functors with stabilization (given by $A \mapsto A \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[\ ]$ *etc.*) is short exact. If one considers a sequence of simplicial abelian groups $A_* \xrightarrow{f_*} B_* \xrightarrow{g_*} C_*$, then the associated sequence of functors with stabilization is short exact if and only if the sequence of simplicial abelian groups is quasi-exact (the associated chain complexes and maps form a triple in the associated triangulated category).

Lemma 3.6: If

$$P(i)'' \xrightarrow{f''} P(i) \xrightarrow{f'} P(i)'$$

is a short exact sequence of bimodules, then the induced sequence of functors with stabilization

$$U^n(F; \ldots, P(i)'', \ldots) \longrightarrow U^n(F; \ldots, P(i), \ldots) \longrightarrow U^n(F; \ldots, P(i)', \ldots)$$

is a fibration.

Proof: First note that it suffices to show that it suffices to know that the map to the hofib computed degreewise (fixing the simplicial directions) is an equivalence. For

$$\underline{X}' \in I^{k_1+1} \times \cdots \times I^{k_i} \times \cdots \times I^{k_n+1}$$

define

$$G''(X') = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{X \in I} Map\left((S^{X \sqcup \underline{X}'}), \bigvee_{\underline{A} \in \mathcal{A}^{\vec{k}+n}} S^2 \wedge V(X \sqcup \underline{X}'; \underline{A})\right)$$

where we are using the bimodule $P''(i)$. Similarly define $G(X')$ (respectively $G'(X')$) using the bimodule $P(i)$ (respectively $P'(i)$). Since Ω commutes with taking hofib and homotopy colimits commute with finite homotopy pull-backs (in spectra), it suffices to show that the map

$$G''(\underline{X}') \longrightarrow \text{hofib}[G(\underline{X}') \longrightarrow G'(\underline{X}')]$$

is a homotopy equivalence and this follows by an argument similar to that used in lemma 3.1.

Let $(f;g) : (F;P) \to (F';P')$ be a morphism of pairs of an FSP and a bimodule over it (1.5). Thus, for all $n \geq 1$ we similarly obtain a morphism of pairs (techincally of simplicial F–bimodules):

$$(f; \hat{\otimes}^n_F g) : (F; \hat{\otimes}^n_F P) \to (F'; \hat{\otimes}^n_{F'} P')$$

(see 2.5).

LEMMA 3.7: Let $(f;g)$ be a morphism of pairs such that the morphism $U^1(f; \hat{\otimes}^n_F g)$ is a weak equivalence, then the map $U^n(f;g)$ is also. In particular, if $P = F$ then whenever $U^1(f;g)$ an equivalence, $U^n(f;g)$ must be an equivalence too for all $n \geq 1$ (this last statement also follows using edge-wise subdivision, see [BHM]).

Proof: The first statement follows from Lemma 2.6. The second part follows from the first and the fact that $\otimes^n_F F \xrightarrow{\simeq} F$ as F–bimodules (see Remarks 2.4).

4.The construction of W_n

In this section, we will only be considering $U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n))$ as a simplicial functor with stabilization by taking the diagonal of the n–dimensional simplicial functor with stabilization defined in 2.3. We first note that there is an isomorphism

$$t : U^n(F; P(1), \ldots, P(n)) \cong U^n(F; P(n), P(1), \ldots, P(n-1))$$

induced in each simplicial dimension $[k]$ by precomposing each map with τ^{-1}, where $\tau : I^{(k,\dots,k)} \to I^{(k,\dots,k)}$ is the functor

$$\tau(\underline{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} X_{n,0}, \dots, X_{n,k} \\ X_{1,0}, \dots, X_{1,k} \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ X_{n-1,0}, \dots, X_{n-1,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

and post-composing with the analogous permutation

$$V(\underline{X}; \underline{A}) \to \begin{pmatrix} P(n)(A_{n,1}, A_{n,0})(S^{X_{n,0}}) \wedge \dots \wedge F(A_{1,0}, A_{n,k})(S^{X_{n,k}}) \\ P(1)(A_{1,1}, A_{1,0})(S^{X_{1,0}}) \wedge \dots \wedge F(A_{2,0}, A_{1,k})(S^{X_{1,k}}) \\ \vdots \quad \vdots \\ P(n-1)(A_{n-1,1}, A_{n-1,0})(S^{X_{n-1,0}}) \wedge \dots \wedge F(A_{n,0}, A_{n-1,k_n})(S^{X_{n-1,k}}) \end{pmatrix}$$

It is sometimes convenient to work with a slightly modified version of U^n we write as $\tilde{U}^n$. The only difference between $\tilde{U}^n$ and U^n is that for $\tilde{U}^n$ one takes the homotopy colimit over the *diagonal* of $(I^{k+1})^{\times n}$ in simplicial dimension $[k]$. The face and degeneracy maps are easily seen to restrict to this sub-limit system as well as the simplicial isomorphism t. The natural map of simplicial functors with stabilization from $\tilde{U}^n$ to U^n is determined by the inclusion of subcategory is always an equivalence (by finality and the realization lemma).

DEFINITION 4.1: For F an FSP and P a bimodule, we define $U^n(F; P)$ to be the simplicial functor with stabilization with C_n–action (given by t) $\tilde{U}^n(F; P, \dots, P)$. Thus, U^n is a functor from the category of pairs $(F; P)$ (an FSP F and F–bimodule P) with morphisms of pairs (1.5) to simplicial functors with stabilization with C_n–action.

Remark: $U^1(F; P)$ is just $THH(F; P)$: the topological Hochschild homology of F with coefficients in P as defined in [DMc2] which was a straightforward generalization of the definition found in [PW] to FSP's with several objects. The spectrum $\tilde{U}^n(F; F, \dots, F)$ is isomorphic (as simplicial functors with stabilization with C_n–action) to the n-th edgewise subdivision of $THH(F; F)$.

LEMMA 4.2: For every $m|n$, the n–fold simplicial isomorphism

$$\alpha_m : U^n(F; P, \dots, P) \to U^{n/m}(F; P^{\hat{\otimes} m}, \dots, P^{\hat{\otimes} m})$$

of 2.6 is $C_{n/m}$–equivariant if we take diagonals. The composite of $\tilde{U}^n(F; P, \dots, P) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U^n(F; P)$ with the diagonal of α_m factors to produce a $C_{n/m}$–equivariant map:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{U}^n(F; P, \ldots, P) \arrow[r, "\tilde{\alpha}_m"] \arrow[d, "="] & \tilde{U}^{m/n}(F; P^{\hat{\otimes}^m_F}, \ldots, P^{\hat{\otimes}^m_F}) \arrow[d, "="] \\
U^n(F; P) \arrow[r, "\alpha_m"] & U^{n/m}(F; P^{\hat{\otimes}^m_F})
\end{tikzcd}$$

which is an equivalence and natural with respect to morphism pairs.

Proof: This is formally true from the definitions and left to the reader.

Given a simplicial C_n–set X_*, the C_n fixed point space of the realization of X_* is homeomorphic to the realization of the simplicial set $X_*^{C_n}$ obtained by taking fixed points degreewise. It follows that the same is true for a simplicial C_n–CW complex (or anything C_n-equivariantly homotopy equivalent to one in each degree) and hence one can compute $U^n(F;P)(X)^{C_m}$ degreewise for every $m|n$. Similarly, since our model for homotopy colimits is given by simplicial spaces, we see that if E is a functor from the small category $\mathcal{C}$ to C_n–equivariant CW–complexes (or spaces C_n-equivariantly equivalent to them) then $(\operatorname{hocolim}_{C\in\mathcal{C}} E(C))^{C_n} \cong \operatorname{hocolim}_{C\in\mathcal{C}} E(C)^{C_n}$. Thus, $U^n(F;P)^{C_m}$ for $m|n$ is naturally homeomorphic to the realization of

$$[k] \mapsto U^n(F;P)_k^{C_m} \cong \underset{\underline{X}\in I^{k+1}}{\operatorname{hocolim}} \underline{Map} \left((S^{\sqcup \underline{X}})^{\wedge n}, \bigvee_{\underline{A}\in(\mathcal{A}^{k+1})^{\times n}} V(\underline{X}^{\times n}; \underline{A}) \right)^{C_m}.$$

We note further, that with the specified C_n-action, we have natural $C_n/C_m \cong C_{\frac{n}{m}}$ equivariant homeomorphisms

$$((S^{\sqcup \underline{X}})^{\wedge n})^{C_m} \cong (S^{\sqcup \underline{X}})^{\wedge (\frac{n}{m})} \tag{4.2}$$

$$\left(\bigvee_{\underline{A}\in(\mathcal{A}^{k+1})^{\times n}} V(\underline{X}^{\times n}; \underline{A}) \right)^{C_m} \cong \left(\bigvee_{\underline{A}\in(\mathcal{A}^{k+1})^{\times (\frac{n}{m})}} V(\underline{X}^{\times (\frac{n}{m})}; \underline{A}) \right).$$

We recall that if G is a group with normal subgroup H, X and Y G–spaces, then there is a continuous map from $Hom(X,Y)^G$ to $Hom(X^H, Y^H)^{G/H}$ given by the restriction to fixed point subspaces. In fact, it is the composite:

$$Hom(X,Y)^G \xrightarrow{res^H} Hom(X^H, Y)^G = Hom(X^H, Y^H)^{G/H} \tag{4.3}$$

By the sequence $X^H \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow X/X^H$, which is a cofibration since H acts simplicially. We see that the fiber the map of res^H (and hence of the composite) is just $Hom(X/X^H, Y)^G$.

Definition 4.3: For r, s and t integers greater than 0, we let

$$Res^r : U^{rst}(F;P)^{C_{rs}} \to U^{st}(F;P)^{C_s}$$

be the map of simplicial functors with stabilization with C_t–action defined degreewise by applying res^{C_r} and making the appropriate identifications by (4.2). Thus,

$$Res^1 = id$$

and

$$Res^r Res^s = Res^{r \cdot s} = Res^s Res^r.$$

Lemma 4.4. For r, s and t integers greater than 0 the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^{rst}(F;P)^{C_{rs}} \arrow[r, "Res^r"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_t"] & U^{st}(F;P)^{C_s} \arrow[d, "\alpha_t"] \\
U^{rs}(F;P^{\hat{\otimes} t})^{C_{rs}} \arrow[r, "Res^r"] & U^{s}(F,P^{\hat{\otimes} t})^{C_s}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. As in the previous discussion, we check the claim simplicially.

$$U^{rst}(F;P)_k^{C_{rs}} \cong \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X} \in I^{k+1}} \underline{Map} \left((S^{\sqcup \underline{X}})^{\wedge rst}, \bigvee_{\underline{A} \in (\mathcal{A}^{k+1})^{\times rst}} V(\underline{X}^{\times rst}; \underline{A}) \right)^{C_{rs}}.$$

We consider the model of $P^{\hat{\otimes} t}$ arising from the diagonal of the $(t-1)$-simplicial construction $(((P\hat{\otimes}_F P)\hat{\otimes}_F P)\hat{\otimes}_F \cdots)\hat{\otimes}_F P$. Also, we consider the simplicial model of $U^{rs}(F;P^{\hat{\otimes} t})$ which is the diagonal on the simplicial structure of U and all the simplicial structures of the $P^{\hat{\otimes} t}$ simultaneously. Then α_t is induced by grouping together the first $(t-1)(k+1)+1$ coordinates in each $t(k+1)$-tuple of coordinates, and sending them to the corresponding coordinate in $P^{\hat{\otimes} t}$.

Therefore, if instead of looking at C_{rs}-equivariant maps on the full $(S^{\sqcup \underline{X}})^{\wedge rst}$ we look at their restrictions to the C_r-fixedpoints in the domain, which must land in that part of the range whose coordinates repeat themselves in r blocks of $ts(k+1)$, the effect of grouping together before or after the r-fold repetition is the same.

Definition 4.5. For r and s positive integers greater than 0, we let

$$I^s : U^{rs}(F;P)^{C_{rs}} \longrightarrow U^{rs}(F,P)^{C_r}$$

be the obviouis inclusion of the fixed set of a group in the fixed set of its subgroup.

Lemma 4.6. For r, s and t integers greater than 0 the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^{rst}(F;P)^{C_{rs}} \arrow[r, "Res^r"] \arrow[d, "I^s"] & U^{st}(F;P)^{C_s} \arrow[d, "I^s"] \\
U^{rst}(F;P)^{C_r} \arrow[r, "Res^r"] & U^{st}(F,P).
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. This is immediate from the definition of Res^r: by equation (4.3), the restriction sends

$$Hom(X,Y)^{C_{rs}} \xrightarrow{res^{C_r}} Hom(X^{C_r}, Y^{C_r})^{C_{rs}/C_r} = Hom(X^{C_r}, Y^{C_r})^{C_s}.$$

Definition 4.7. For s a positive integer greater than 0 we define

$$P^s = \alpha_s \circ I^s : U^{rst}(F;P)^{C_{rs}} \longrightarrow U^{rt}(F;P^{\hat{\otimes} s})^{C_r}.$$

By lemmas 4.4 and 4.6 we see that whenever they are both defined,

$$Res^r P^s = P^s Res^r. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $\mathbf{N}^\times$ be the natural numbers $\{1,2,\ldots\}$ as a partially ordered set with $n < m \Leftrightarrow m|n$. For F an FSP and P an F bimodule, we have a functor from $\mathbf{N}^\times$ to functors with stabilization sending every natural number n to $U^n(F;P)^{C_n}$ and every morphism $n < m$ to $Res^{\frac{n}{m}}$.

Definition 4.8: Let F be an FSP and P an F bimodule. For $\mathcal{M}$ a subcategory of $\mathbf{N}^\times$, we set

$$W_{\mathcal{M}}(F;P) = \operatorname*{holim}_{n\in\mathcal{M}} U^n(F;P)^{C_n}.$$

We will use simplified notation for various distinguished subcategories of $\mathbf{N}^\times$ as follows. First, we set

$$W(F;P) = W_{\mathbf{N}^\times}(F;P).$$

We let $\{\leq n\}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{N}^\times$ generated by $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ and write

$$W_n(F;P) = W_{\{\leq n\}}(F;P).$$

We let (p) be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{N}^\times$ generated by the powers of p, $(p) = \{1, p, p^2, \ldots\}$ and write

$$W^{(p)}(F;P) = W_{(p)}(F;P)$$

(we use this notation so we can have room for a subscript). Let $(\leq p^n)$ be the full subcategory of (p) generated by $\{1, p, \ldots, p^n\}$ and write

$$W_n^{(p)}(F;P) = W_{(\leq p^n)}(F;P).$$

Definition 4.9: For n a natural number, we let

$$W_n(F;P) \xrightarrow{R_n} W_{n-1}(F;P) \qquad W_n^{(p)}(F;P) \xrightarrow{R_n^{(p)}} W_{n-1}^{(p)}(F;P)$$

be the natural maps obtained by restriction to subcategories. Thus,

$$W(F;P) = \operatorname*{holim}_{\infty \leftarrow n} W_n(F;P) \qquad W^{(p)}(F;P) = \operatorname*{holim}_{\infty \leftarrow n} W_n^{(p)}(F;P)$$

with structure maps given by the R_n's.

Lemma 4.10: For s a positive integer, P^s induces a natural map

$$P^s : W(F,P) \longrightarrow W(F, P^{\hat{\otimes} s}).$$

For p a prime, we also have

$$P^p : W_n^{(p)}(F,P) \longrightarrow W_{n-1}^{(p)}(F, P^{\hat{\otimes} p})$$

which commutes with $R^{(p)}$.

Proof. Since P^s commutes with Res^r whenever both are defined, P^s induces a map between the inverse system $U(F;P)$ on the subcategory $s \cdot \mathbf{N}^\times$ of multiples of s and the inverse system $U(F; P^{\hat{\otimes} s})$ on $\mathbf{N}^\times$. Therefore we get a map

$$W_{s\cdot\mathcal{M}}(F;P) \to W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P^{\hat{\otimes} s})$$

for any subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathbf{N}^\times$. But the subcategory $s \cdot \mathbf{N}^\times$ is coinitial in $\mathbf{N}^\times$, so the obvious inclusion of categories induces a natural isomorphism

$$W_{s\cdot\mathbf{N}^\times}(F;P) \cong W(F;P)$$

through which we can define the first map claimed in the lemma.

Since there are initial objects, we know that $W_n^{(p)}(F;M) = U^{p^n}(F;M)^{C_{p^n}}$ and $W_{n-1}^{(p)}(F;M) = U^{p^{n-1}}(F;M)^{C_{p^{n-1}}}$ for $M = P$ and $M = P^{\hat{\otimes} p}$, and that $R_n^{(p)}$ in both systems is simply Res^p; it commutes with P^p by equation (4.4).

5. The fiber of R_n

Our goal in this section is to identify the fiber of the maps R_n and $R_n^{(p)}$ up to natural equivalence with $U^n_{hC_n}$ and $U^{p^n}_{hC_{p^n}}$. This was essentially done by T. Goodwillie in the appendix to his MSRI notes [G]. Since these MSRI notes are not published, in this section we reproduce what is needed from them (5.3, 5.4 and 5.5 below) to establish the result. We have modify some of the constructions found in [G] to make the proofs more transparent.

Let G be a group. Recall that for X a (pointed) space with G–action, we define the *homotopy orbit* space of X to be $X_{hG} = X \wedge_G EG_+ = (X \wedge EG_+)_G$. We recall that if $f : X \to Y$ is an n–connected G–equivariant map then f_{hG} is also n–connected. We note that if F is a functor with stabilization with G–action, then $X \mapsto F(X)_{hG}$ is again naturally a functor with stabilization.

We define the *homotopy fixed-point* space of X to be $X^{hG} = Map_G(EG_+, X) = Map_*(EG_+, X)^G$. If $f : X \to Y$ is a G–equivariant map which is also an equivalence, then f^{hG} is also an equivalence but $(\ )^{hG}$ does not preserve connectivity in general. Thus, if F is a functor with stabilization then $X \mapsto F(X)^{hG}$ satisfies 1.1(i) but not necessarily (ii), (iii), or (iv) and hence is not again a functor with stabilization.

Definition 5.1: A *functor with structure* will be a functor F from $\mathcal{S}_*$ to $\mathcal{S}_*$ together with a natural transformation

$$\lambda_{X,Y} : X \wedge F(Y) \longrightarrow F(X \wedge Y)$$

which satisfies 1.1 (i) but not necessarily 1.1(ii), 1.1(iii), or 1.1(iv). A *functor with structure over* $\mathcal{O}$ for a set $\mathcal{O}$ is a functor from $\mathcal{S}_* \times \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}$ to $\mathcal{S}_*$ such that for all $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$, $F_{A,B}(\ ) = F(A,B)(\ )$ is a functor with structure.

Definition 5.2: Let G be a group and F a functor with stabilization with G-action. We define the *homotopy orbits* of F to be the functor with stabilization

$$F_{hG} : X \mapsto \underset{m}{\operatorname{hocolim}}\ \Omega^m[F(\Sigma^m X)]_{hG}$$

and the *homotopy fixed–points* of F to be the functor with structure

$$F^{hG} : X \mapsto \underset{m}{\operatorname{hocolim}}\ \Omega^m[\underset{\ell}{\operatorname{hocolim}}\ \Omega^\ell F(\Sigma^{m+\ell} X)]^{hG}).$$

Important Remark: If F_* is a simplicial functor with stabilization with G–action, then the commuting diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{|(F_*)_{hG}|} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\Delta^{op}}[(F_*)_{hG}] \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
{|(F_*)|_{hG}} \arrow[r, phantom, "="] & (\mathrm{hocolim}_{\Delta^{op}}[F_*])_{hG}
\end{tikzcd}$$

shows that homotopy orbits commute with realizations. However, since $[q] \mapsto (F_q)^{hG}$ is only a simplicial functor with structure, we do not get that the natural map

$$|(F_*)^{hG}| \longrightarrow |F_*|^{hG}$$

is an equivalence. Hence, homotopy fixed points do not in general commute with realizations. However, if each $(F_q)^{hG}$ is again a functor with stabilization (in particular, connective) then this map does induce an equivalence on the associated spectra.

The Tate Map

For G a finite group, the *Tate map* is a chain of natural maps of functors with structure from F_{hG} to F^{hG} which we now wish to define. But first, we establish a sequence of natural equivalences

$$(G_+ \wedge F)_{hG} \simeq \Omega^\infty F \simeq (G_+ \wedge F)^{hG}$$

For X a G–space, we let γ be the G–equivariant map

$$G_+ \wedge X \cong \bigvee_G X \xrightarrow{inc} \prod_G X \cong Map\ (G_+, X)$$

that is:

$$\gamma(g \wedge x)(u) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } g = u \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if X is k–connected, then γ is $(2k-1)$–connected by Blakers-Massey and we obtain the diagram:

(1)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
(G_+ \wedge X)_G & X \arrow[l, "\cong"'] \arrow[r, "\cong"] & Map(G_+, X)^G \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
(G_+ \wedge X)_{hG} \arrow[u, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_{hG}"] & & Map(G_+, X)^{hG} \\
Map(G_+, X)_{hG} & & (G_+ \wedge X)^{hG} \arrow[u, "\gamma^{hG}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since γ is $(2k-1)$–connected, so is γ_{hG}; but we do not know anything about the connectivity of γ^{hG}. However, if F is functor with stabilization with G–action then for all X we know that the composite map

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[\text{hocolim } \Omega^n(G_+ \wedge F(\Sigma^n X))]^{hG}} \arrow[d, "\gamma^{hG}"] \\
{[\text{hocolim } \Omega^n Map(G_+, F(\Sigma^n X)]^{hG}} \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
{[\text{hocolim } Map(G_+, \Omega^n F(\Sigma^n X)]^{hG}}
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

is an equivalence. We also note that since G is finite, the natural G–equivariant map

$$\text{hocolim } Map(G_+, \Omega^n F(\Sigma^n X)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Map(G_+, \text{hocolim } \Omega^n F(\Sigma^n X)) \tag{3}$$

is an equivalence. Thus, we can assemble all these remarks to obtain the following sequence of natural equivalences of spaces (a symbol in "( )" indicates the previous statement which implies the map is an equivalence)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(G_+ \wedge F)_{hG}(X) = & \text{hocolim } \Omega^n[(G_+ \wedge F(\Sigma^n X)_{hG}] \arrow[d, "\simeq\ (1)"] \\
\Omega^\infty F(X) = & \text{hocolim } \Omega^n F(\Sigma^n X) \arrow[d, "\simeq\ (1)"] \\
& {[\text{Map } (G_+, \text{hocolim}\Omega^n F(\Sigma^n X)]^{hG}} \\
& {[\text{hocolim } \Omega^n Map(G_+, F(\Sigma^n X))]^{hG}} \arrow[u, "\simeq\ (3)"] \\
(G_+ \wedge F)^{hG}(X) = & {[\text{hocolim } \Omega^n(G_+ \wedge F(\Sigma^n X))]^{hG}} \arrow[u, "\simeq\ (2)"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{A}$$

The map on the last line is an equivalence since the previous lines show we already have an omega-spectrum. The maps in (A) assemble (as X varies) into a natural sequence of equivalences of functors with stabilization with G–action.

Using the G–equivariant equivalence $EG_+ \wedge X \xrightarrow{\simeq} X$, we obtain natural equivalences on G–homotopy orbits and homotopy fixed points. We can obtain EG_+ as the realization of a simplicial G–set $[q] \mapsto \bigwedge^{q+1} G_+$ (the simplicial path space of the bar construction for G, with G acting on the 0'th coordinate). Thus,

$$EG_+ \wedge F \ \cong_G \ |[q] \mapsto \bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge F|$$

Definition 5.3: (T. Goodwillie) The *Tate "map"* is the following natural diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{hG} \\
(EG_+ \wedge F)_{hG} \arrow[u, "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
|[q] \mapsto (\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge F)|_{hG} \\
|[q] \mapsto (\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge F)_{hG}| \arrow[u, "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, phantom, "\simeq\ (A)"] \\
|[q] \mapsto (\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge F)^{hG}| \arrow[d] \\
|[q] \mapsto (\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge F)|^{hG} \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
|EG_+ \wedge F|^{hG} \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
F^{hG}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(In the middle step, $\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge F$ should be viewed as $G_+ \wedge \bigwedge^{q} G_+ \wedge F$.)

There is one case where the Tate map is easily seen to be an equivalence: when E is a functor with stabilization with G-action and $F = G_+ \wedge E$. This follows from the remarks following 5.2 or the commuting diagram (using the projection maps π)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & |[q] \mapsto [\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge (G_+ \wedge E)]_{hG}| \arrow[r, "\pi"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\simeq\ (A)"] & (G_+ \wedge E)_{hG} \arrow[dd, phantom, "\simeq\ (A)"] \\
(B) & |[q] \mapsto [\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge (G_+ \wedge E)]^{hG}| \arrow[d] & \\
 & |[q] \mapsto [\bigwedge^{q+1} G_+ \wedge (G_+ \wedge E)]|^{hG} \arrow[r, "\pi"] & (G_+ \wedge E)^{hG}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Another case which follows from this one is that of functors with stabilization of the form Map (G_+, E), where we have the stable equivalences of homotopy orbits from (1) and of homotopy fixedpoints from (2).

Proposition 5.4: (T. Goodwillie) The Tate map for F is an equivalence if F is either $U \wedge E$ or Map (U, E), where E is a functor with stabilization with G–action and U is a pointed finite free G–space (i.e., a simplicial G–set with finitely many nondegenerate non-basepoint simplicies permuted freely by G).

The proof is by induction over skeleta; the cells attached at stage n are dealt with by applying the above discussion to the case $(E \wedge \bigvee^t S^n) \wedge G_+$ and Map $(\bigvee^t S^n \wedge G_+, E)$, using the fact that after we apply Ω^∞, cofibrations become fibrations.

THEOREM 5.5: (T. Goodwillie) Let U be a free finite based G–complex of dimension n and W a $(n-1)$–connected based G–complex. Then the spectrum associated to the functor with stabilization $\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U,W)^G$ is naturally equivalent to that associated to $\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U,W)_{hG}$.

Proof: Consider the diagram

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U,W)^G &\xrightarrow{\alpha} \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U, \operatorname{hocolim} \Omega^k(S^k \wedge W))^G \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U, \operatorname{hocolim} \Omega^k(S^k \wedge W))^{hG} \\ &\xleftarrow{\gamma} (\operatorname{hocolim} \Omega^k(\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U,(S^k \wedge W))^{hG}) \\ &\xleftarrow{\delta} \operatorname{hocolim} \Omega^k(\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(U,(S^k \wedge W))_{hG})\end{aligned}$$

The first map, α, is induced by the inclusion $W \to \operatorname{hocolim} \Omega^k(S^k \wedge W)$. Since W is $(n-1)$–connected this map is $(2n-1)$–connected. Since U is a free G–space of dimension n, the map itself is $(n-1)$–connected.

The second map, β, is the canonical map from fixed points to homotopy fixed points. It is an equivalence because this is always so for function spaces $Map(U,?)$ where U and ? are G-spaces and U is free.

The third map, γ, is an equivalence because U is a finite complex; this has nothing to do with the G–action.

The fourth map, δ, is the Tate map, and is an equivalence by 5.4.

Notation: Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbf{N}^\times$ be a full subcategory, and let $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $p_1,,\ldots,p_t$ be the distinct prime divisors of M. For $U \subseteq \{1,\ldots,t\}$, we let $<U>=\prod_{u\in U} p_u$ $(<\emptyset>=1)$. Assume $\frac{M}{<U>} \in \mathcal{M}$ for all $U \subseteq \{1,\ldots,t\}$, and let $\vec{M}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ with objects $\{\frac{M}{<U>} | U \subseteq \{1,\ldots,t\}\}$. We define $\vec{M} - M$ to be the full subcategory of $\vec{M}$ generated by all the objects *except* M.

Assumption: $\mathcal{M}$ is a subcategory of $\mathbf{N}^\times$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is such that $\mathcal{M}$ is covered by the object of, and compositions of the morphisms of, $\vec{M}$ and $\mathcal{M} - M$ (that is, there does not exist an $M' \in \mathcal{M} - M$ such that $M|M'$).

Remark: Since $\vec{M} - M$ is the intersection of $\vec{M}$ and $\mathcal{M} - M$, for any functor F from $\mathcal{M}$

to functors with stabilization the following natural diagram is homotopy cartesian:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{holim}_{\mathcal{M}} F \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{holim}_{\mathcal{M}-M} F \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{holim}_{\vec{M}} F \arrow[r] & \operatorname{holim}_{\vec{M}-M} F
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since M is initial in $\vec{M}$, the natural map $F(M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim}_{\vec{M}} F$ is an equivalence and the homotopy fiber of the composite $F(M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim}_{\vec{M}-M} F$ is naturally equivalent to the total fiber of the t–dimensional cube determined by F on $\vec{M}$ (see [G2], 1.1b).

If we consider the functor $U(F;P)$ defined after Definition 4.3, we see that the homotopy fiber of the restriction map from $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F;P)$ to $W_{\mathcal{M}-M}(F;P)$ is naturally equivalent to the total fiber of the t–dimensional cube determined by $U(F;P)$ on $\vec{M}$. Since $U(F;P)$ takes values in simplicial functors with stabilization, this total fiber is naturally equivalent to the realization of the total fibers computed in each simplicial dimension separately.

PROPOSITION 5.6: If $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is such that $\mathcal{M}$ is covered by the objects in, and compositions of the morphisms in, $\vec{M}$ and $\mathcal{M} - M$ then there is a natural (in F and P) chain of equivalences of functors with stabilization

$$U^M(F;P)_{hC_M} \simeq \text{hofib } [W_{\mathcal{M}}(F;P) \longrightarrow W_{\mathcal{M}-M}(F;P)].$$

Proof: For $\underline{X} \in I^{\vec{k}+1}$, set

$$Z = (S^{\underline{X}})^{\wedge M}$$

$$Y = V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{O})^{\wedge M}$$

(recall Definition 2.2), and $x = \Sigma_{i=0}^{k} |X_i|$.

By the above remark,

$$\text{hofib } [W_{\mathcal{M}}(F;P) \longrightarrow W_{\mathcal{M}-M}(F;P)] \simeq \text{hofib } [W_{\vec{M}}(F;P) \longrightarrow W_{\vec{M}-M}(F;P)].$$

This is the total fiber of the t–dimensional cube

$$\mathcal{X}(U) = U^{M/<U>}(F;P)^{C_{M/<U>}} = \underline{\text{Map}}(Z^{C_{<U>}}, Y)^{C_M}$$

with maps by the restriction to fixed subsets. By applying the functor $\underline{\text{Map}}(\ , Y)^{C_M}$, we see that this is the same as $\underline{\text{Map}}(\mathcal{U}, Y)^{C_M}$ where $\mathcal{U}$ is the total cofiber of the t–dimensional cube with $\mathcal{Y}(U) = Z^{C_{<U>}}$ and structure maps given by inclusion. Thus, $\mathcal{U} = Z/Z'$ where Z' is the push–out of the diagram

$$\mathcal{Z}(U) = (S^{\underline{X}})^{\wedge(M/<U>)} \qquad U \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}; U \neq \emptyset$$

with the maps given by inclusions.

Now $\mathcal{U}$ is a finite free based C_M–space of dimension Mx. Since Y is $(Mx-1)$–connected, $\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathcal{U},Y)^{C_M}$ is naturally equivalent to $\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathcal{U},Y)_{hC_M}$ by Theorem 5.5. The quotient map $Z \to \mathcal{U}$ produces a natural map

$$\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathcal{U},Y)_{hC_M} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(Z,Y)_{hC_M}.$$

Since $\dim(Z') = \max\{\frac{Mx}{p_i} | 1 \le i \le n\} = Mx/p$ (for p the smallest prime divisor of M) and $S^\ell \wedge Y$ is $(\ell + Mx - 1)$–connected, the map

$$\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathcal{U},(S^\ell \wedge Y)) \to \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(Z,(S^\ell \wedge Y))$$

is $(\ell + Mx(1-1/p)-1)$–connected and hence ϵ is $(Mx(1-1/p)-1)$–connected. Since the quotient map from Z to $\mathcal{U}$ is functorial in $I^{\vec{k}+1}$, we can take the homotopy colimit with respect to $I^{\vec{k}+1}$ and hence obtain an equivalence

$$\operatorname*{hocolim}_{I^{\vec{k}+1}} \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathcal{U},(S^\ell \wedge Y)) \to \operatorname*{hocolim}_{I^{\vec{k}+1}} \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(Z,(S^\ell \wedge Y)).$$

Thus, we have obtained a natural sequence of equivalences

$$\left(U^M(F;P)_{[k]}\right)_{hM} \simeq \mathrm{hofib}[W_{\mathcal{M}}(F;P)_{[k]} \to W_{\mathcal{M}-M}(F;P)_{[k]}].$$

It remains to check that these maps respect the simplicial operators. This straightforward but messy detail is left for the interested reader.

Corollary 5.7: For any FSP F and bimodule P there is a natural chain of equivalences of functors

$$U^n(F;P)_{hC_n} \simeq \mathrm{hofib}[W_n(F;P) \xrightarrow{R} W_{n-1}(F;P)]$$
$$U^{p^n}(F;P)_{hC_{p^n}} \simeq \mathrm{hofib}[W_n^{(p)}(F;P) \xrightarrow{R} W_{n-1}^{(p)}(F;P)]$$

Corollary 5.8 : Let P be a $(k-1)$–connected F-bimodule. The natural map

$$W(F;P) \xrightarrow{R} W_n(F;P)$$

is $((n+1)k-2)$–connected and the natural map

$$W^{(p)}(F;P) \xrightarrow{R^{(p)}} W_n^{(p)}(F;P)$$

is $(p^{n+1}k-2)$–connected.

Proof: By lemma 3.4, $U^n(F;P)$ is $kn+(n-1)$ connected for all $n \geq 1$. Since homotopy orbits preserve connectivity the result follows from corollary 5.7.

6. More Properties of U^n and W

In this section we will develop several useful properties of U^n and W which will be needed to define the map from algebraic K-theory to W, and which can also be useful in calculations. These results are all analogous to results from [DMc2], where they were proved for the THH (i.e. U^n for $n=1$) case, with or without coefficients in a bimodule. We will restict our attention to FSP's over small categories (note that in the [DMc2] nomenclature, what we here call an FSP is a unital ring functor; they reserve the name FSP for the case where the category in question consists of a single point, as in Bökstedt's original definition). Later in the section we will restrict ourselves further to small linear categories (where the homomorphism set between any two objects is an abelian group and composition is bilinear), and to a particular FSP on them (see the first example after Definition 1.3 above).

The proofs from [DMc2] can be adapted as explained below to give homotopy equivalences between $U^n(F;P_1,\ldots,P_n)$ for different FSP's F over categories $\mathcal{C}$ and F-bimodules $P_1,\ldots,P_n$ (the proofs that the maps are indeed homotopy equivalences work when the bimodules are distinct; we mention only the case $P_1=\cdots=P_n$, which is what we will mostly use, in order to simplify notation). In all the cases, there are maps inducing these homotopy equivalences which are naturally C_n-equivariant when $P_1=\cdots=P_n$. This turns out to be enough to show that they are C_n-equivalences: Using the fundamental sequence of Proposition 5.6 (for $\mathcal{M}$ equal to all of n's divisors), by induction on n (since a C_n-equivariant map which is a homotopy equivalence induces a homotopy equivalence on the C_n homotopy quotient) we can see that they induce an equivalence on the C_n-fixedpoints of U^n. Using groupings $U^n(F;P) \simeq U^m(F;P^{\hat{\otimes}_F \frac{n}{m}})$ as in Lemma 2.6 we can get an equivalence of the C_m-fixedpoints for any $m|n$ in a similar way. Once we know that the maps in each of these claims are C_n equivalences, it follows (except in Lemma 6.4 where the map is from a direct limits of U^n's) that they induce equivalences on W and all its variants as well.

If F is an FSP over a small category $\mathcal{C}$ and P an F-bimodule, then for any small category $\mathcal{D}$ and functor $\phi:\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{C}$ we can get an FSP ϕ^*F over $\mathcal{D}$ by letting $\phi^*F_{d,d'}(X) = F_{\phi(d),\phi(d')}(X)$ for all $d,d'\in\mathcal{D}$, $X\in\mathcal{S}_*$. We can similarly define the ϕ^*F-bimodule ϕ^*P.

Lemma 6.1: Let $\phi_1,\phi_2:\mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{C}$ be two naturally isomorphic functors between small categories, and let F be an FSP over $\mathcal{C}$ and P an F-bimodule. Then the natural isomorphism induces a C_n-homeomorphism

$$U^n(\phi_1^*(F);\phi_1^*(P)) \xrightarrow{\cong} U^n(\phi_2^*(F);\phi_2^*(P))$$

for all n and therefore a homeomorphism on W.

Proof: Analogous to that of Lemma 1.6.2 of [DMc2]: the natural isomorphism η induces an equivalence $F(\eta(a)^{-1}, \eta(b))(id_X) : F(\phi_1(a), \phi_1(b))(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(\phi_2(a), \phi_2(b))(X)$ for all X, and similarly for P. These are compatible with the multiplicative structure.

PROPOSITION 6.2: Let $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ be an equivalence of categories, and let F be an FSP over $\mathcal{C}$ and P an F-bimodule. Then ϕ induces a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(\phi^*(F); \phi^*(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(F; P)$$

and therefore an equivalence on W.

Proof: Using the natural transformation $\psi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ such that both compositions are naturally isomorphic to the identity and Lemma 6.1, as in the proof of Lemma 1.6.6 in [DMc2].

Example 6.3: Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be small linear categories, with an equivalence of categories $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$. Then we can look at the FSPs $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$, as defined after Definition 1.3. There is a natural isomorphism between the FSPs $\phi^*\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ on $\mathcal{D}$: Whenever the identity is naturally isomorphic to a functor $F : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$ then $F^* : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(e_1, e_2) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(F(e_1), F(e_2))$ is one-to-one and onto for all $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ (because if $H : id_{\mathcal{E}} \to F$ is a natural isomorphism, for every $\alpha : e_1 \to e_2$, $F(\alpha) = H(e_2)\alpha H(e_1)^{-1}$). So we can use this on $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_1, d_2) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\phi(d_1), \phi(d_2))$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1, c_2) \xrightarrow{\psi^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\psi(c_1), \psi(c_2))$ first to establish that ϕ^* is one-to-one on morphism sets and ψ^* onto, and then in the opposite order to establish that ψ^* is one-to-one on morphism sets and ϕ^* onto.

Now say we have two functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$ and a $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$-bimodule of the form $P(a, b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(F(a), G(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ (see the second example after Definition 1.5 above). Then ϕ^*P is a bimodule on the same form on $\mathcal{D}$, corresponding to the functors $F \circ \phi$ and $G \circ \phi$, and we get a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(\underline{\mathcal{D}}; \phi^*P) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P).$$

We now want to show that our construction of U^n commutes with direct limits. Call the category we are working on $\mathcal{C}$, and assume that there is a directed set of subcategories $\mathcal{C}_j$ of $\mathcal{C}$, $j \in J$, such that for any object $c \in \mathcal{C}$ there is $j \in J$ with $c \in \mathcal{C}_j$. If J satisfies this condition, we say that it is a *saturated* directed set in $\mathcal{C}$. Note that this condition is really a condition on the underlying sets of the small categories involved.

Lemma 6.4: If J is a saturated directed set in $\mathcal{C}$, and F is an FSP on $\mathcal{C}$ with P an F-bimodule, then we have a C_n-equivalence

$$\lim_{j\in J} \mathcal{U}^n(F|_{C_j}; P|_{C_j}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(F;P).$$

Proof: As in the proof of Lemma 1.6.9 in [DMc2], this follows from the fact that any map from a sphere $S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}$ (which is compact) to $V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C})$ (see Definition 2.3 above) has a compact image, and therefore can intersect only finitely many summands which each involve only finitely many elements of $\mathcal{C}$, by commuting colimits and homotopy colimits. The C_n equivalence of U^n is proved as usual, but note that this lemma does not imply a similar result for W because of the problem of commuting direct and inverse limits.

Lemma 6.5: If we have FSPs F and F' over $\mathcal{C}$ and bimodules P and P' over F and F', respectively, and a map $(f,g) : (F;P) \to (F';P')$ (see Definition 1.5) so that f and g induce a stable equivalence on the associated spectra $\mathbf{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{F}'$, $\mathbf{P} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{P}'$ (see Definition 1.2), then we have a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(F;P) \xrightarrow{(f;g)_*} U^n(F';P')$$

and therefore an equivalence on W.

Proof: Since the associated spectra are all equivalent, we get an equivalence of the k-simplices in U^n for all n.

Given an FSP F_1 over a small category $\mathcal{C}_1$ with an F_1-bimodule P_1, and an FSP F_2 over a small category $\mathcal{C}_2$ with an F_2-bimodule P_2, one can define functors with stabilization over $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1 \times F_2)((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2))(X) &= F_1(a_1,b_1)(X) \times F_2(a_2,b_2)(X)\\ (F_1 \vee F_2)((a_1,a_2),(b_1,b_2))(X) &= F_1(a_1,b_1)(X) \vee F_2(a_2,b_2)(X).\end{aligned}$$

Similar definitions can be made for bimodules. Note that $F_1 \times F_2$ is an FSP; $F_1 \vee F_2$ has no unit, so is not an FSP. However the inclusion of the latter in the former induces a stable equivalence of the associated spectra, so if one used the definition of U^n on $F_1 \vee F_2$ with coefficients in $P_1 \vee P_2$, as in Lemma 6.5 above one would get the same thing as $U^n(F_1 \times F_2; P_1 \times P_2)$. One can also define an FSP over $\mathcal{C}_1 \amalg \mathcal{C}_2$

$$(F_1 \amalg F_2)(a,b)(X) \begin{cases} F_1(a,b)(X) & \text{if } a,b \in \mathcal{C}_1 \\ F_2(a,b)(X) & \text{if } a,b \in \mathcal{C}_2 \\ * & \text{if } a,b \text{ lie in different } \mathcal{C}_i, \end{cases}$$

and similarly define an $F_1 \amalg F_2$-bimodule $P_1 \amalg P_2$.

LEMMA 6.6: For FSPs F_1 and F_2 over small categories C_1 and C_2, respectively, with bimodules P_1 and P_2 we have a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(F_1 \amalg F_2; P_1 \amalg P_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(F_1; P_1) \times U^n(F_2; P_2)$$

inducing an equivalence on W.

Proof: Following the proof of Lemma 1.6.13 in [DMc2], if we pick $\underline{X}$ and look at $V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \amalg \mathcal{C}_2)$ calculated with respect to the FSP $F_1 \amalg F_2$ and the bimodule $P_1 \amalg P_2$, we can see that only summands which correspond to sequences of elements which are all in $\mathcal{C}_1$ or all in $\mathcal{C}_2$ are non-trivial. Thus for every $\underline{X}$ we have

$$V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \amalg \mathcal{C}_2) = V_{(F_1;P_1)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1) \vee V_{(F_2;P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_2),$$

and so the limit of $\underline{Map}(S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}, V(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \amalg \mathcal{C}_2))$ will be weakly equivalent to the limit of $\underline{Map}(S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}, V_{(F_1;P_1)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1)) \times V_{(F_2;P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_2))$.

LEMMA 6.7: For FSPs F_1 and F_2 over small categories C_1 and C_2, respectively, with bimodules P_1 and P_2. Then the projections to both coordinates define a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(F_1 \times F_2; P_1 \times P_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(F_1; P_1) \times U^n(F_2; P_2)$$

inducing an equivalence on W.

Proof: Call the map induced by the projections (which is a C_n-equivariant map) f; we need to show that it is an equivalence. Like in the proof of Lemma 1.6.15 in [DMc2], one can construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^n(F_1 \vee F_2; P_1 \vee P_2) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{incl}_*"] & U^n(F_1 \amalg F_2; P_1 \amalg P_2) \arrow[d, "\text{Lemma 6.6}"] \\
U^n(F_1 \times F_2; P_1 \times P_2) \arrow[r, "f"] & U^n(F_1; P_1) \times U^n(F_2; P_2)
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the vertical maps weak equivalences. The map g is obtained by restricting the maps

$$V_{(F_1 \times F_2; P_1 \times P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to V_{(F_1;P_1)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1) \times V_{(F_2;P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_2),$$

used to define f (induced by the product of the projections) to $V_{(F_1 \vee F_2; P_1 \vee P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$, and observing that they give maps

$$V_{(F_1 \vee F_2; P_1 \vee P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to V_{(F_1;P_1)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1) \vee V_{(F_2;P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_2) = V_{(F_1 \amalg F_2; P_1 \amalg P_2)}(\underline{X}, \mathcal{C}_1 \amalg \mathcal{C}_2).$$

In the opposite direction, one can define a map $U^n(F_1 \amalg F_2; P_1 \amalg P_2) \xrightarrow{i} U^n(F_1 \vee F_2; P_1 \vee P_2)$ by first mapping $\mathcal{C}_1 \amalg \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ using some fixed $a \in \mathcal{C}_2$ as a 'filler' second coordinate for $\mathcal{C}_1$ and some fixed $b \in \mathcal{C}_1$ as a 'filler' first coordinate for $\mathcal{C}_2$, and then mapping F_i or P_i into $F_1 \vee F_2$ or $P_1 \vee P_2$, respectively. Now $g \circ i = id$, and the proof in 1.6.15 [DMc2] works to show that $i \circ g \cong id$ (if one ignores the cyclic action, it does not matter whether the coordinates are the FSP or the bimodule). Since the vertical maps are known to be equivalences, the fact that g is an equivalence implies that f is one, too.

Definition 6.8: Given a functor with stabilization A over $\mathcal{C}$, we can define its $\ell \times \ell$ matrices as a functor with stabilization over $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ in two ways:

$$M_\ell(A)((c_1,\dots,c_\ell),(c'_1,\dots,c'_\ell))(X) = \prod_{r=1}^{\ell} \bigvee_{s=1}^{\ell} A(c_r, c'_s)(X),$$

$$M_\ell(A)_\vee((c_1,\dots,c_\ell),(c'_1,\dots,c'_\ell))(X) = \bigvee_{r=1}^{\ell} \bigvee_{s=1}^{\ell} A(c_r, c'_s)(X).$$

Now if F is an FSP, $M_\ell(F)$ is an FSP too, using matrix multiplication (see 1.2.6 in [DMc2]; since the FSP multiplication sends $F(b,c)(X) \wedge F(a,b)(Y) \to F(a,c)(X \wedge Y)$, one should think of $A(c_r, c'_s)(X)$ as the (s,r)'th entry in the matrix); $M_\ell(F)_\vee$ using the same multiplication does not have a unit but of course the associated spectra are stably equivalent. If F is an FSP and P is an F-bimodule, $M_\ell(P)$ is a $M_\ell(F)$-bimodule.

One can also define upper-triangular matrices (see above comment about indexing)

$$T_\ell(A)((c_1,\dots,c_\ell),(c'_1,\dots,c'_\ell))(X) = \prod_{r=1}^{\ell} \bigvee_{s=1}^{r} A(c_r, c'_s)(X),$$

$$T_\ell(A)_\vee((c_1,\dots,c_\ell),(c'_1,\dots,c'_\ell))(X) = \bigvee_{r=1}^{\ell} \bigvee_{s=1}^{r} A(c_r, c'_s)(X),$$

and again if F is an FSP then $T_\ell(F)$ is one too, and $T_\ell(A)$, $T_\ell(A)_\vee$ are stably equivalent for any A.

Proposition 6.9 (Morita Equivalence): Let F be an FSP on $\mathcal{C}$ and let P be an F-bimodule. Then there is a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(F;P) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(M_\ell(F); M_\ell(P))$$

inducing an equivalence on W.

Proof: We will define the map which induces this equivalence; it will as usual be C_n-equivariant. The proof that it is a homotopy equivalence is completely analogous to the proof of Proposition 1.6.18 in [DMc2]. The map is defined by picking some element $c_0 \in \mathcal{C}$, and using it to embed $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{C}^\ell$ by $a \mapsto (a, c_0, \ldots, c_0)$ on objects and $f \mapsto (f, id_{c_0}, \ldots, id_{c_0})$ on morphisms. Then on $\mathcal{C}$ we map F to $i^* M_n(F)_\vee$ by including, for every $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ and any finite simplicial X, $F(a,b)(X)$ as the $(1,1)$'st coordinate in $M_n(F)_\vee((a, c_0, \ldots, c_0), (b, c_0, \ldots, c_0))$, which in turn includes into $M_n(F)$.

Proposition 6.10: Let F be an FSP on $\mathcal{C}$ and let P be an F-bimodule. Then the inclusion of the diagonal matrices in the upper-triangular ones induces a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F; \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} P) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(T_\ell(F); T_\ell(P))$$

inducing an equivalence on W.

Proof: There is an obvious map from the upper-triangular matrices to the diagonal ones—collapsing all the off-diagonal terms—which shows that the above map must be the inclusion of a retract. The proof that it is in fact an equivalence is analogous to that of Proposition 1.6.20 in [DMc2], and is done by replacing $U^n(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F; \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} P)$ with the equivalent $U^n(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} F; \bigvee_{i=1}^{\ell} P)$ and $U^n(T_\ell(F); T_\ell(P))$ with the equivalent $U^n(T_\ell(F)_\vee; T_\ell(P)_\vee)$.

Definition 6.11: We now restrict ourselves to small linear categories $\mathcal{C}$, to FSPs of the form $\underline{\mathcal{C}}(a,b) = \mathcal{C}(a,b) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$, as described in the example after Definition 1.3, and to bimodules over them of the form

$$P(a,b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$$

for some other linear category $\mathcal{B}$ and two functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$ which respect the linear structure of the morphism sets. In this case we can define FSPs

$$m_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})((c_1, \ldots, c_\ell), (c_1', \ldots, c_\ell'))(X) = (\bigoplus_{r=1}^{\ell} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{C}(c_r, c_s')) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X],$$

$$M_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})_\oplus((c_1, \ldots, c_\ell), (c_1', \ldots, c_\ell'))(X) = \bigoplus_{r=1}^{\ell} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{\ell} \mathcal{C}(c_r, c_s') \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X].$$

Observe that the two are, in fact, homeomorphic on any X. One can do the same construction for matrices over P of the above form. Observe also that the obvious inclusions $M_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \to M_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})_\oplus$, $M_\ell(P) \to M_\ell(P)_\oplus$ are stable equivalences, and therefore by Lemma 6.5 above we have C_n-equivalences

$$U^n(M_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}}); M_\ell(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(M_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})_\oplus; M_\ell(P)_\oplus) = U^n(m_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}}); m_\ell(P)).$$

One can similarly construct upper-triangular versions $t_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$, $t_\ell(P)$, $T_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})_\oplus$, $T_\ell(P)_\oplus$ and get

$$U^n(T_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}}); T_\ell(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(T_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}})_\oplus; T_\ell(P)_\oplus) = U^n(t_\ell(\underline{\mathcal{C}}); t_\ell(P)).$$

Proposition 6.12 (Cofinality): Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a a small additive category (that is, a small linear category with a notion of $\oplus$ on the objects which corresponds to taking the direct sum of abelian groups on the morphisms, and with a zero object). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full subcategory of it which is cofinal, that is: for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$ there is $d' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $d \oplus d' \in \mathcal{C}$. Let P be an FSP of the form $P(a,b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ on $\mathcal{D}$ for some additive category functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then the inclusion induces a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P|_{\mathcal{C}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{D}}; P)$$

and an equivalence on W.

Proof: Since by construction P respects the direct sum structure, the proof of Lemma 2.1.1 of [DMc2] works if we use it in some of the coordinates.

The following proposition connects $U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; P)$ with the definition of U of the FSP associated to a ring with coefficients in a bimodule which is analogous to Bökstedt's original definition of THH in [B]. The former will be needed to construct the map from K-theory in section 9 below; the latter is more compact and easier to use for calculations.

Proposition 6.13 (Another Morita Equivalence): Let R be an associative ring with unit. We can view R as the full subcategory on the rank 1 free module inside $\mathcal{P}_R$, the category of finitely generated projective right R-modules. Let $P(a,b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ for some additive category functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{P}_R \to \mathcal{B}$. Then the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_R$ induces a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(\underline{R}; P|_R) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; P)$$

and an equivalence on W.

Proof: Following the proof of Proposition 2.1.5 in [DMc2], we let $\mathcal{F}_R$ be the category of finitely generated free right R-modules, and $\mathcal{F}_R^k$ its full subcategory on the modules of rank less than or equal to k. Then the inclusion $m_k(R) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_R^k$, where we regard $m_k(R)$ as the full subcategory on a rank k free module, is an equivalence of categories. Note that on the category with one object, the FSP $m_\ell(\underline{R})$ of Definition 6.11 is the same FSP as what we would call $\underline{m_\ell(R)}$, the one associated to the full subcategory described above.

So we get a commutative diagram of C_n-equivariant maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^n(\underline{R}; P|_R) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\text{Prop 6.9}"] & U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; P) & U^n(\underline{\mathcal{F}_R}; P|_{\mathcal{F}_R}) \arrow[l, "\text{Prop 6.12}"'] \\
\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} U^n(M_k(\underline{R}); M_k(P|_R)) \arrow[r, "\text{Def 6.11}"] & \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} U^n(m_k(\underline{R}); m_k(P|_R)) \arrow[r, "\text{Ex 6.3}"] & \lim\limits_{k\to\infty} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{F}^k_R}; P|_{\mathcal{F}^k_R}) \arrow[u, "\text{Lemma 6.4}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the labels on the arrows indicate from where it follows that those maps are homotopy equivalences. Thus the unlabeled map must be a homotopy equivalence as well.

In [DMc1] the authors construct a map from $K(R; M)$ to topological Hochschild homology, and then show that it is the map from $K(R; M)$ to the first layer of its Goodwillie Taylor tower. They start with another functor which maps very naturally to topological Hochschild homology and then look at the functor induced on the Waldhausen S-constructions of domain and range. In Section 9 below, we will follow the same method. So we briefly recall the S-construction from [W] and [DMc1].

Given an exact category (an additive category with a compatible notion of exact sequences) $\mathcal{C}$, one can define for any $n \geq 0$ another exact category $S_n\mathcal{C}$ whose objects are sequences of admissible monomorphisms $0 = c_0 \hookrightarrow c_1 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow c_n$ with particular identifications of c_j/c_i for all $i \leq j$, and whose morphisms are commuting diagrams. Assembled over all n, with the obvious composition maps for ∂_i, $0 < i < n$, omission of and quotienting by c_1 for ∂_0, and omission of c_n for ∂_n, these form a simplicial exact category.

If the original category $\mathcal{C}$ is split exact, that is: all exact sequences split in it, then $S_.\mathcal{C}$ is a split simplicial exact category. One can take functors from small categories to spaces or spectra and define them on a simplicial exact category levelwise, and then realize. One can also define the iterated S-construction $S^{(k)}_.\mathcal{C}$ to be the simplicial exact category obtained by taking the diagonal of the k-simplicial exact category one would get by iterating the process k times.

Note that if $\mathcal{C}$ is a small exact category, and we have a bimodule over the FSP $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of the form $P(a, b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ for some exact functors G_1, G_2 from $\mathcal{C}$ to an exact category $\mathcal{B}$, the G_i induce simplicial exact functors $S_.G_i : S_.\mathcal{C} \to S_.\mathcal{B}$ and so we can define a $\underline{S_.\mathcal{C}}$ bimodule

$$S_nP(\bar{a}, \bar{b})(X) = S_n\mathcal{B}(S_nG_1(\bar{a}), S_nG_2(\bar{b})) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$$

for all $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in S_n\mathcal{C}$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Proposition 6.14: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a split small exact category and let P be a bimodule over the FSP $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of the form $P(a, b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ for some exact functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then there is a C_n-equivalence

$$U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega|U^n(\underline{S_.\mathcal{C}}; S_.P)|$$

induced by the adjoint to the map $\Sigma U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) \longrightarrow |U^n(\underline{S_\cdot \mathcal{C}}; S_\cdot P)|$ coming from the identification of $S_0\mathcal{C}$ with the trivial category and $S_1\mathcal{C}$ with $\mathcal{C}$ via $\{0 \hookrightarrow c\} \leftrightarrow c$. This equivalence yields an equivalence

$$W(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega|W(\underline{S_\cdot \mathcal{C}}; S_\cdot P)|.$$

Proof: As in the proof of Proposition 2.1.3 in [DMc2], the key point is that because $\mathcal{C}$ is split exact, for any $k \geq 0$, if we look at the functor $\mathcal{C}^k \xrightarrow{f} S_k\mathcal{C}$ defined by $(c_1, \dots, c_k) \mapsto \{0 \hookrightarrow c_1 \hookrightarrow c_1 \oplus c_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow c_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_k\}$, it is an equivalence of categories. The morphisms of $S_k\mathcal{C}$ pull back exactly to the upper-triangular matrices so $f^*(\underline{S_k\mathcal{C}}) = t_k(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$ of Definition 6.11 above. Similarly, since the G_i are exact functors, they send direct sums to direct sums, and so P preserves direct sums and $f^*(S_kP) = t_k(P)$. We also want to look at the functor $S_k\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{C}^k$ sending $\{0 \hookrightarrow c_1 \hookrightarrow c_2 \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow c_k) \mapsto (c_1, c_2/c_1, \dots, c_k/c_{k-1})$. We get a commutative diagram of C_n-equivariant maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^n(\underline{S_k\mathcal{C}}; S_kP) \arrow[r, "g_*"] \arrow[d, "f_*", "\text{Example 6.3}"'] & U^n(\prod_{i=1}^k \underline{\mathcal{C}}; \prod_{i=1}^k P) \arrow[r, "\text{Lemma 6.7}"] & U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)^k \\
U^n(t_k(\underline{\mathcal{C}}); t_k(P)) \arrow[r, "\text{Def 6.11}"] & U^n(T_k(\underline{\mathcal{C}}); T_k(P)) \arrow[u, "\text{Prop 6.10}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the labels on the arrows indicate from where it follows that those maps are homotopy equivalences. We deduce that $U^n(\underline{S_k\mathcal{C}}; S_kP) \xrightarrow{\sim} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)^k$ for every k.

We will show that if we apply the maps g_*, followed by the projections of Lemma 6.7, levelwise, we get an equivalence $|U^n(\underline{S_\cdot \mathcal{C}}; S_\cdot P)| \xrightarrow{\sim} |B_\cdot U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)|$ compatible with the identifications $U^n(\underline{S_1\mathcal{C}}; S_1P) = U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) = B_1U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)$, which will complete our proof. (The classifying space $B_\cdot$ is taken with respect to the operation induced by the abelian group structure on the morphism sets of $\mathcal{C}$.) To show this, we consider that for any simplicial object $X_\cdot$ one can look at its simplicial path space $(\mathbf{p}X)_\cdot$ defined by $(\mathbf{p}X)_k = X_{k+1}$ with the original $\partial_0, \dots \partial_k$ and $s_0, \dots, s_k$ as structure maps. The 'extra' degeneracy map s_{k+1} from $(\mathbf{p}X)_k$ to $(\mathbf{p}X)_{k+1}$ allows us to embed the cone on $|(\mathbf{p}X)_\cdot|$ in $|(\mathbf{p}X)_\cdot|$, showing that $|(\mathbf{p}X)_\cdot|$ is contractible. The 'extra' boundary map $\partial_{k+1} : (\mathbf{p}X)_n \to X_n$ is a simplicial map. Then we have a commutative diagram for each k

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) \arrow[r, "(s_0)_*^k"] \arrow[d] & U^n(\underline{\mathbf{p}S_k\mathcal{C}}; \mathbf{p}S_kP) \arrow[r, "(\partial_{k+1})_*"] \arrow[d] & U^n(\underline{S_k\mathcal{C}}; S_kP) \arrow[d] \\
U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) \arrow[r] & (\mathbf{p}B)_k(U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) = U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)^{k+1} \arrow[r] & B_k(U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P) = U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)^k
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical arrows are the maps g_* followed by the projections of Lemma 6.7, so we know that they are all equivalences, and the bottom row is the trivial product fibration, inserting $U^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}; P)$ in the last coordinate. The two fibrations are therefore homotopy equivalent.

PROPOSITION 6.15: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a split small exact category and let P be a bimodule over the FSP $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of the form $P(a,b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ for some exact functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then there is a C_n-equivalence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k U_0^n(\underline{S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}}; S^{(k)}P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k U^n(\underline{S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}}; S^{(k)}P).$$

Proof: This is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.2.3 in [DMc2], but is done simultaneously in all n blocks. As usual, we will prove that for all n the given map, which respects the C_n action, is a homotopy equivalence, and the C_n-equivalence will follow by induction.

As in [DMc2], sections 2.0.7 and 2.2.1, we can replace $U^n(\mathcal{C}, P)$ by the simplicial abelian group $R_.(\mathcal{C})$ with

$$\begin{aligned} R_p(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X}\in I^{n(p+1)}} \Omega^{\sqcup \underline{X}} & \bigoplus_{(c_{1,0},\ldots,c_{1,p},c_{2,0},\ldots,c_{n,p})\in\mathcal{C}^{n(p+1)}} \\ & s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a_{1,0}), G_2(a_{1,-1})) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{C}(a_{1,1}, a_{1,0})] \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{C}(a_{1,p}, a_{1,p-1})] \otimes \\ & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a_{2,0}), G_2(a_{2,-1}))] \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{C}(a_{2,p}, a_{2,p-1})] \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{C}(a_{n,p}, a_{n,p-1})] \end{aligned}$$

where $s\mathcal{B}$, $s\mathcal{C}$ denote the categories of simplicial objects in $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$,

$$a_{1,-1} = c_{n,p} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup \underline{X}}],$$

for $1 < i \leq n$,

$$a_{i,-1} = c_{i-1,p} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup_{(k,l)>(i-1,p)} X_{k,l}}],$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $0 \leq j \leq p$

$$a_{i,j} = c_{i,j} \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup_{(k,l)>(i,j)} X_{k,l}}].$$

The ordering on pairs of indices is lexicographic.

For every p, then, R_p clearly satisfies the requirements of Lemma 2.2.2 in [DMc2], yielding

$$d_0 + d_2 \simeq d_1 : \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)} S_2 \mathcal{C}) \to \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}).$$

For every p we have maps

$$R_0(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{s_0^p} R_p(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{d_0^p} R_0(\mathcal{C})$$

with $d_0^p \circ s_0^p = \mathrm{id}_{R_0(\mathcal{C})}$. We will show that $s_0^p \circ d_0^p \simeq \mathrm{id}_{R_p(\mathcal{C})}$ as well, so that the $R_p(\mathcal{C})$ are all homotopy equivalent to $R_0(\mathcal{C})$.

Since $d_0^{p-1} \circ d_i = d_0^p$, $0 \le i < p$, these $d_i : R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})$ are homotopy equivalences compatible with the equivalence $R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_0(\mathcal{C})$. Since $d_0^{p+1} \circ s_i = d_0^p$ for all $0 \le i \le p$, $s_i : R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_{p+1}(\mathcal{C})$ are similarly compatible. One could, similarly to the calculation we are about to make, show that $d_1 \circ d_2 \circ \cdots \circ d_p : R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_0(\mathcal{C})$ is a homotopy inverse of s_0^p, which implies that $d_p : R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_{p-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is also a homotopy equivalence compatible with the equivalence $R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_0(\mathcal{C})$. Thus

$$|\{p \mapsto R_p(\mathcal{C})\}| = \operatorname{hocolim} R_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq R_0(\mathcal{C}),$$

where the homotopy colimit is taken over the co-simplicial category, and the last equivalence is because all the maps involved are homotopic to the identity and the homotopy colimit of a point over the category is contractible.

To define the homotopy $s_0^p \circ d_0^p \simeq \mathrm{id}_{R_p(\mathcal{C})}$ for a fixed p, note that $R_p(\mathcal{C})$ is generated by products of blocks of maps

$$\alpha_{i,0} : G_1(a_{i,0}) \to G_2(a_{i-1,p})$$
$$a_{i,0} \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,1}} a_{i,1} \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,2}} a_{i,2} \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,3}} \cdots \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,p}} a_{i,p}$$

for all $1 \le i \le n$ (defining $a_{-1,p} = a_{n,p}$). We can write such a generator as $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_{1,0}, \alpha_{1,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1,p}, \alpha_{2,0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n,0}, \alpha_{n,1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n,p})$. Define

$$\beta_{i,j} = \alpha_{i,j} \circ \alpha_{i,j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \alpha_{i,p} : a_{i,p} \to a_{i,j-1}$$

for $1 \le j \le p$ and

$$\beta_i = \alpha_{i,0} \circ G_1(\beta_{i,1}) : G_1(a_{i,p}) \to G_2(a_{i-1,p}).$$

Then

$$s_0^p \circ d_0^p(\underline{\alpha}) = (\beta_1, \mathrm{id}_{a_{1,p}}, \ldots, \mathrm{id}_{a_{1,p}}, \beta_2, \mathrm{id}_{a_{2,p}}, \ldots, \mathrm{id}_{a_{2,p}}, \ldots, \beta_n, \mathrm{id}_{a_{n,p}}, \ldots, \mathrm{id}_{a_{n,p}}).$$

Now define $t^i_{\mathrm{id}}(\underline{\alpha})$ for $1 \le i \le n$ to consist of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_2(a_{i-1,p}) \arrow[d, "G_2(i_1)"] & & G_1(a_{i,p}) \arrow[ll, "0"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(i_1)"] \\
G_2(a_{i-1,p} \oplus a_{i-1,p}) \arrow[d, "G_2(\pi_2)"] & & G_1(a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,0}) \arrow[ll, "G_2(\Delta)\alpha_{i,0}G_1(\pi_2)"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(\pi_2)"] \\
G_2(a_{i-1,p}) & & G_1(a_{i,0}) \arrow[ll, "\alpha_{i,0}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
a_{i,p} \arrow[d, "i_1"] & = & a_{i,p} \arrow[d, "i_1"] & = & \cdots & = \arrow[d, "i_1"] & a_{i,p} \\
a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,0} \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}\oplus\alpha_{i,1}} & a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,1} \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}\oplus\alpha_{i,2}} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{\mathrm{id}\oplus\alpha_{i,p}} \arrow[d, "\pi_2"] & a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,p} \\
a_{i,0} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,1}} & a_{i,1} & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,2}} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{i,p}} & a_{i,p},
\end{tikzcd}$$

where i_1 is the inclusion into the first factor in the direct sum and π_2 the projection into the second. Then the map

$$\underline{\alpha} \mapsto (t^1_{\mathrm{id}}(\underline{\alpha}), t^2_{\mathrm{id}}(\underline{\alpha}), \ldots, t^n_{\mathrm{id}}(\underline{\alpha}))$$

induces a map

$$T_{\mathrm{id}} : R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_p(S_2\mathcal{C}).$$

Also, define $t^i_{\beta_i}(\underline{\alpha})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ to consist of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_2(a_{i-1,p}) \arrow[d, "G_2(\Delta)"] & & G_1(a_{i,p}) \arrow[ll, "\beta_i"'] \arrow[d, "G_1((\mathrm{id}\oplus\beta_{i,1})\Delta)"] \\
G_2(a_{i-1,p} \oplus a_{i-1,p}) \arrow[d, "G_2(\mathrm{id},-\mathrm{id})"] & & G_1(a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,0}) \arrow[ll, "G_2(\Delta)\alpha_{i,0}G_1(\pi_2)"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(\beta_{i,1},-\mathrm{id})"] \\
G_2(a_{i-1,p}) & & G_1(a_{i,0}) \arrow[ll, "0"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
a_{i,p} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "(\mathrm{id}\oplus\beta_{i,1})\Delta"] & a_{i,p} \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "(\mathrm{id}\oplus\beta_{i,2})\Delta"] & \cdots \arrow[r, equal] & a_{i,p} \arrow[d, "\Delta"] \\
a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,0} \arrow[d, "(\beta_{i,1},-\mathrm{id})"] & a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,1} \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id}\oplus\alpha_{i,1}"'] \arrow[d, "(\beta_{i,2},-\mathrm{id})"] & \cdots \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id}\oplus\alpha_{i,2}"'] & a_{i,p} \oplus a_{i,p} \arrow[l, "\mathrm{id}\oplus\alpha_{i,p}"'] \arrow[d, "(\mathrm{id},-\mathrm{id})"] \\
a_{i,0} & a_{i,1} \arrow[l, "\alpha_{i,1}"'] & \cdots \arrow[l, "\alpha_{i,2}"'] & a_{i,p}. \arrow[l, "\alpha_{i,p}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

The map

$$\underline{\alpha} \mapsto (t^1_{\beta_1}(\underline{\alpha}), t^2_{\beta_2}(\underline{\alpha}), \ldots, t^n_{\beta_n}(\underline{\alpha}))$$

induces a map

$$T_{\beta} : R_p(\mathcal{C}) \to R_p(S_2\mathcal{C}).$$

Recall that for $c_1 \hookrightarrow c_2$ with an identification of c_2/c_1 in $S_2\mathcal{C}$, d_0 is c_2/c_1, d_1 is c_2, and d_2 is c_1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
d_0 T_{\mathrm{id}} &= \mathrm{id} & d_0 T_{\beta} &= 0 \\
d_1 T_{\mathrm{id}} &= d_1 T_{\beta} & & \\
d_2 T_{\mathrm{id}} &= 0 & d_2 T_{\beta} &= s^p_0 \circ d^p_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the induced maps

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}) \to \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)}S_2\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{d_j} \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C})$$

satisfy

$$\mathrm{id} = d_0 T_{\mathrm{id}} \simeq d_1 T_{\mathrm{id}} = d_1 T_{\beta} \simeq d_2 T_{\beta} = s^p_0 \circ d^p_0,$$

where the homotopies use the homotopy $d_0 + d_2 \simeq d_1 : \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)}S_2\mathcal{C}) \to \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_p(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C})$ mentioned above, along with the vanishing of $d_2 T_{\mathrm{id}}$, $d_0 T_{\beta}$.

Proposition 6.16: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a split small exact category and let P be a bimodule over the FSP $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of the form $P(a,b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ for some exact functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then there is a C_n-equivalence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k \bigvee_{\underline{c}\in S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}} U_0^n(\underline{S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}}|_{\underline{c}}; S^{(k)}P|_{\underline{c}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k U_0^n(\underline{S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}}; S^{(k)}P).$$

Proof: As in the proof of Proposition 6.45 above, we replace U_0^n by the abelian group R_0; the corresponding replacement for $\bigvee_{c\in\mathcal{C}} U_0^n(\underline{\mathcal{C}}|_c, P|_c)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} R'(\mathcal{C}) = & \bigoplus_{c\in\mathcal{C}} \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X}\in I^{n(p+1)}} \Omega^{\sqcup\underline{X}} \Big(s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a'_1), G_2(a'_0)) \otimes \\ & \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a'_2), G_2(a'_1)] \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a'_n), G_2(a'_{n-1})]\Big) \\ \simeq & \operatorname*{hocolim}_{\underline{X}\in I^{n(p+1)}} \Omega^{\sqcup\underline{X}} \bigoplus_{c\in\mathcal{C}} \Big(s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a'_1), G_2(a'_0)) \otimes \\ & \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a'_2), G_2(a'_1)] \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(a'_n), G_2(a'_{n-1})]\Big) \end{aligned}$$

where $a'_0 = c \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup\underline{X}}]$, $a'_1 = c \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{X_2\sqcup\cdots\sqcup X_n}]$, $\ldots$, $a'_{n-1} = c \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{X_n}]$, $a'_n = c$.

We have the obvious inclusion

$$i : R'(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{i} R_0(\mathcal{C})$$

and a map

$$R_0(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{j} R'(\mathcal{C})$$

sending each summand corresponding to $(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \in \mathcal{C}^n$ in $R_0(\mathcal{C})$ to the summand corresponding to $c = c_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_n$ in $R'(\mathcal{C})$ via the map induced by the obvious inclusions and projections $c_j \xrightarrow{i_j} c_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus c_n \xrightarrow{\pi_j} c_j$,

$$s\mathcal{B}(G_1(\pi_1), G_2(i_n)) \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(\pi_2), G_2(i_1)] \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[s\mathcal{B}(G_1(\pi_n), G_2(i_{n-1})].$$

Since R_0 satisfies the requirements of Lemma 2.2.2 in [DMc2],

$$d_0 + d_2 \simeq d_1 : \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_0(S^{(k)} S_2 \mathcal{C}) \to \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_0(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}).$$

Define a map $T : R_0(\mathcal{C}) \to R_0(S_2\mathcal{C})$ by sending

$$G_2(a_0) \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} G_1(a_1) \quad G_2(a_1) \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} G_1(a_2) \quad \cdots \quad G_2(a_{n-1}) \xleftarrow{\alpha_n} G_1(a_n)$$

to

$$\begin{tikzcd}
G_2(a_0) \arrow[d, "G_2(i_n)"] & G_1(a_1) \arrow[l, "\alpha_1"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(i_1)"] & G_2(a_1) \arrow[d, "G_2(i_1)"] & G_1(a_2) \arrow[l, "\alpha_2"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(i_2)"] & \cdots & G_2(a_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "G_2(i_{n-1})"] & G_1(a_n) \arrow[l, "\alpha_n"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(i_n)"] \\
G_2(a'_0) \arrow[d, "G_2(p_n)"] & G_1(a'_1) \arrow[l, "\gamma_1"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(p_1)"] & G_2(a'_1) \arrow[d, "G_2(p_1)"] & G_1(a'_2) \arrow[l, "\gamma_2"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(p_2)"] & \cdots & G_2(a'_{n-1}) \arrow[d, "G_2(p_{n-1})"] & G_1(a'_n) \arrow[l, "\gamma_n"'] \arrow[d, "G_1(p_n)"] \\
G_2(a''_0) & G_1(a''_1) \arrow[l, "0"'] & G_2(a''_1) & G_1(a''_2) \arrow[l, "0"'] & \cdots & G_2(a''_{n-1}) & G_1(a''_n) \arrow[l, "0"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\gamma_j = G_2(i_{j-1})\alpha_j G_1(\pi_j)$ (with i_0 defined as i_n), and for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ (with 0 cyclically identified with n as an index for the c_i as usual),

$$\begin{aligned}
a_i &= c_i \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup_{j>i} X_j}] \\
a'_i &= c \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup_{j>i} X_j}] \\
a''_i &= \bigoplus_{k \neq i} c_k \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^{\sqcup_{j>i} X_j}].
\end{aligned}$$

By construction we have short exact sequences $0 \longrightarrow a_j \xrightarrow{i_j} a'_j \xrightarrow{p_j} a''_j \longrightarrow 0$.

We have $d_0T = 0$, $d_2T = \mathrm{id}$, and $d_1T = i \circ j$, so on $\lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R_0(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C})$,

$$\mathrm{id} = d_2T = d_0T + d_2T \simeq d_1T = i \circ j.$$

Now $j \circ i$ is not equal to the identity, but note that the map T above sends $R'(\mathcal{C})$ to $R'(S_2\mathcal{C})$ and R' also satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.2.2 in [DMc2], so the formula for T also gives a homotopy $j \circ i \simeq \mathrm{id}_{\lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k R'(S^{(k)}\mathcal{C})}$.

Corollary 6.17: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a split small exact category and let P be a bimodule over the FSP $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of the form $P(a,b)(X) = \mathcal{B}(G_1(a), G_2(b)) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$ for some exact functors $G_1, G_2 : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}$. Then there is a C_n-equivalence

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k \bigvee_{\underline{c} \in S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}} U_0^n(\underline{S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}}|_{\underline{c}}; S^{(k)}P|_{\underline{c}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{k\to\infty} \Omega^k U^n(\underline{S^{(k)}\mathcal{C}}; S^{(k)}P).$$

7. Cubical diagrams and analyticity

Our goal in this section is to recall some definitions and terminology from [G2] which are suitable for our applications and then to show that the functor $W(F; P \otimes -)$ is 0-analytic. Instead of developing the tools to apply the Taylor tower to the category of all F–bimodules, we will instead define this functor from spaces to spectra, which we will be able to apply [G2] and [G3] to without additional modifications. This is done to avoid complications that are not necessary for our applications.

For S a finite set, let $\mathcal{P}(S)$ be the poset of all subsets of S. An S–cube (or n–cube where $n = |S|$) in a category $\mathcal{C}$ is a functor $\mathcal{X}$ from $\mathcal{P}(S)$ to $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, a 0–cube is an object of $\mathcal{C}$, a 1–cube is a morphism and a 2–cube is a commuting square in $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an S–cube of spaces or spectra. Since $\emptyset$ is initial in S, $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathrm{holim}_S \mathcal{X}$ is an equivalence. Let $\mathcal{P}_0(S)$ be the poset of *nonempty* subsets of S. The *homotopy fiber* of $\mathcal{X}$ is:

$$\mathrm{hofib}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{hofib}\ (\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{holim}_{\mathcal{P}_0(S)} \mathcal{X}).$$

We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *k–Cartesian* if α is k–connected and *Cartesian* if α is an equivalence.

Since S is final in $\mathcal{P}(S)$, $\mathrm{colim}_{\mathcal{P}(S)} \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}(S)$ is an equivalence. Let $\mathcal{P}_1(S)$ be the poset of $T \subseteq S$ such that $T \neq S$. The *homotopy cofiber* of $\mathcal{X}$ is:

$$\mathrm{hcofib}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathrm{hcofib}\ (\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{P}_1(S)} \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{X}(S)).$$

We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is *k–co-Cartesian* if β is k–connected and *co-Cartesian* if β is an equivalence.

Terminology for F-bimodules: Let F be a fixed FSP. We now want to modify the above definitions for functors from spectra to spectra so we may apply them to the category of F–bimodules. Recall that a morphism of F–bimodules is k–connected if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$, the map of associated spectra $f_{A,B}$ is k–connected. We will call a cubical diagram $\mathcal{X}$ of F–bimodules (or functors with stabilization) k–(co)Cartesian if for all $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ its associated diagram of spectra $\mathcal{X}_{A,B}$ is k–(co)Cartesian.

DEFINITION 7.1: An S–cube $\mathcal{X}$ of spaces or spectra is *strongly (co-) Cartesian* if each face of dimension ≥ 2 is (co-) Cartesian.

DEFINITION 7.2: Let F be a homotopy functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. Then F is *n–excisive*, or satisfies *n-th order excision*, if for every strongly co-Cartesian $(n+1)$–cubical diagram $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{P}(S) \to \mathcal{C}$ the diagram $F(\mathcal{X})$ is Cartesian.

Example 7.3: By Proposition 3.4 of [G2], if $M : \mathcal{C}^{\times r} \to \mathcal{D}$ is n_i–excisive in the i-th variable for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, then the composition with the diagonal inclusion $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}^{\times r}$ is n–excisive with $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3 of [G2], $U^n(F;\ )$ is an n–excisive functor.

Example 7.4: Spectra have the nice property that for n–cubes of spectra, 'k–Cartesian' = '(k+n-1)–co-Cartesian' (1.19 of [G2]) and hence, by definition, the category of F–bimodules (or functors with stabilization) also shares this property. In particular, every co-Cartesian diagram of F–bimodules is Cartesian and thus for any FSP F, the identity functor from

F–bimodules to itself is 1-excisive. More generally, given a unital map $f : F \to F'$ of FSP's, the functor f^* from F'–bimodules to F–bimodules is 1-excisive.

Example 7.5: The functor Ω^∞ from F–bimodules to $\Omega^\infty F$–bimodules is 1-excisive (since $id \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^\infty$). Since F maps to $\Omega^\infty F$, one can regard the resulting $\Omega^\infty F$–bimodules as F–bimodules again. Thus one can replace every strong cofibration square of F–bimodules by an equivalent strong co-Cartesian square of F–bimodules for which the associated spectra sending n to the value of the functor on S^n are Ω-spectra.

DEFINITION/EXAMPLE 7.6: Given a functor with stabilization Q, we let Q_* denote the homotopy functor $X \mapsto Q(X)$ which is necessarily reduced ($Q(*) \simeq *$). Then $\Omega^\infty Q_*$ is a 1-excisive functor from spaces to spaces which satisfies the *limit axiom.* We recall from 1.7 of [G1] that a homotopy functor G satisfies the limit axiom if for all spaces X,

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{Y\subseteq X} G(Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} G(X)$$

where the limit system runs over all compact CW-subspaces and maps the inclusions.

DEFINITION 7.7: (Goodwillie [G2]) Let F be a homotopy functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. Then F is *stably n–excisive*, or satisfies *stable n-th order excision*, if the following is true for some numbers c and κ:

$E_n(c, \kappa)$: If $\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{P}(S) \to \mathcal{C}$ is any strongly co-Cartesian $(n+1)$–cube such that for all $s \in S$ the map $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(s)$ is k_s–connected and $k_s \geq \kappa$, then the diagram $F(\mathcal{X})$ is $(-c + \Sigma k_s)$–Cartesian.

If $E_n(c, \kappa)$ holds for all κ then we simply say that F satisfies $E_n(c)$.

Example 7.8: Every n–excisive functor satisfies $E_n(c)$ for all c.

Example 7.9: If F_* is a simplicial object of functors from spaces or spectra to spectra satisfying $E_n(c, \kappa)$, then the realized functor $|F_*|$ (to spectra) also satisfies $E_n(c, \kappa)$. This is because the realization functor is equivalent to a homotopy colimit which preserves connectivity and commutes with finite homotopy inverse limits (because we are in spectra).

Example 7.10: For any functor with stabilization P, the functor from spaces to functors with stabilization sending a space X to the functor with stabilization $P \otimes X : Y \mapsto P(Y) \wedge X$ is 1-excisive, and the functor from functors with stabilization to spaces defined by $P \mapsto \mathrm{hocolim}_{X\in I} Map(S^X, P(S^X))$ is 1-excisive.

Definition 7.11: (Goodwillie [G2]) The functor F is *ρ–analytic* if there is some number q such that F satisfies $E_n(n\rho - q, \rho + 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Definition 7.12: Given an F–bimodule P, we write $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ and $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$ for the homotopy functors from spaces to simplicial functors with stabilization defined by composition with the functor $P \otimes -$ (of Example 7.10) from spaces to F–bimodules. We note that $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies the limit axiom but $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$ only does if $\mathcal{M}$ is finite or P is 0–connective.

Example 7.13: For any $m|n$ and F-bimodule P, the functor $U^n(F; P \otimes -)_{hC_m}$ from space to functors with stabilization is n–excisive and satisfies $E_k(0)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and hence is -1–analytic.

Proof: (after 4.4 of [G2]) Since homotopy orbits preserve connectivity and commute with finite homotopy inverse limits, it suffices to show the result for $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$.

By Example 7.5, we may assume P is an Ω F–bimodule. Now $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ is the diagonal on an n–multi-excisive functor so by Example 7.3 it is n–excisive. By Proposition 3.2 in [G2], being n-excisive implies being k-excisive for all $k \geq n$, which implies (as observed in Example 7.8 above) that $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies $E_k(c)$ for all $k \geq n$ and all c. Below we will show that for all $k \geq 1$, $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies $E_k(0)$. Once we know that, we can take $q = -n$ and get that for all $k \geq 1$, $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies $E_k(-k + n, 0)$ and so it is -1–analytic.

By Example 7.9, to show that $U^n(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies $E_k(0)$ it suffices to show that $U^n(F; P \otimes -)_{[m]}$ satisfies $E_k(0)$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$, so we fix m and consider $U^n(F; P \otimes -)_{[m]}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a strongly co-Cartesian S–cube of F–bimodules such that $\mathcal{X}(\emptyset) \to \mathcal{X}(s)$ is k_s–connected for $s \in S$. Now for any space Z, the spectra

$$U^n(F; P)_{[m]} \wedge \bigwedge^n Z \simeq U^n(F; P \otimes Z)_{[m]}$$

are equivalent. By example 4.4 of [G2], $\wedge^n \mathcal{X}$ is $\Sigma k_s + n$–co-Cartesian (reduce to a CW case and consider cells), thus $U^n(F; P \otimes \mathcal{X})_{[m]}$ is a $\Sigma k_s + n$–co-Cartesian diagram of spectra and so a Σk_s–Cartesian diagram of spectra.

Proposition 7.14: Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^{\times}$ be such that for all $M \in \mathcal{M}$, $\vec{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ (notation as in Proposition 5.6). Then for F-bimodules P, $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies $E_n(1)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and hence is 0–analytic.

Proof: Note, if $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathbf{N}^{\times}$ is finite, then there exists an $M \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M}$ is covered by $\vec{M}$ and $\mathcal{M} - M$ (as in 5.6). Thus, by 5.6 we have a natural fibration:

$$U^M(F; P \otimes -)_{hC_M} \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}_{\mathcal{M}} U(F; P \otimes -)|_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{Res} \mathrm{holim}_{\mathcal{M}-M} U(F; P \otimes -)|_{\mathcal{M}-M}.$$

By Example 7.13, $U^M_{hC_M}$ satisfies $E_n(0)$. Now $\mathcal{M} - M$ is again such that if $M' \in \mathcal{M} - M$ then $\vec{M}' \subseteq \mathcal{M} - M$ and so by induction on the number of objects of $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathrm{holim}_{\mathcal{M}-M} U(F; P \otimes -)|_{\mathcal{M}-M}$ satisfies $E_n(0)$ and hence $\mathrm{holim}_{\mathcal{M}} U(F; P \otimes -)$ satisfies $E_n(0)$ too.

In general, $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$ can be written as

$$W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -) \simeq \underset{\infty \leftarrow n}{\mathrm{holim}}\, W_{\mathcal{M}_n}(F; P \otimes -)$$

for $\mathcal{M}_n$ finite and as above. Now since homotopy inverse limits commute, the homotopy fiber of $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$ on a strongly co-Cartesian $(n+1)$-cube is the homotopy inverse limit of the homotopy fibers of the $W_{\mathcal{M}_n}(F; P \otimes -)$ on that cube. By [BK], XI.7.4, there is a natural short exact sequence

$$0 \to \lim^1_{n \to \infty} \pi_{i+1}(\text{the homotopy fiber of } W_{\mathcal{M}_n}) \longrightarrow \pi_i(\text{the homotopy fiber of } W_{\mathcal{M}})$$

$$\longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \pi_i(\text{the homotopy fiber of } W_{\mathcal{M}_n}) \to 0$$

and since the homotopy fibers of the $W_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ are Σk_s-connected, the homotopy fiber of $W_{\mathcal{M}}$ must be $-1 + \Sigma k_s$-connected. So $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$ always satisfies $E_n(1)$.

Remark: Certainly Proposition 7.14 holds for more general categories $\mathcal{M}$ but what is proven suffices for our purposes. For example, using $\vec{M}$ and the equivalence

$$U^M(\ )^{C_M} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{holim}_{\vec{M}} U(F; P \otimes -)|_{\mathcal{M}}$$

(M is initial in $\vec{M}$) we see that $U^M(F; P \otimes -)^{C_M}$ also satisfies $E_n(0)$.

8. Taylor towers and $W_{\mathcal{M}}(F; P \otimes -)$

We now want to identify the Taylor tower for $W(F; P \otimes -)$ and $W^{(p)}(F; P \otimes -)$ when P is an F-bimodule. Technically, what we will write down is what one might call the Maclaurin series since it is the Taylor tower at the basepoint. We will be using universal properties and the results of section 6.

Recall that for a ρ–analytic functor F there is an n–excisive homotopy functor called the n-th degree Taylor polynomial which we write as $P_n F$. We also define:

$$D_n F = \mathrm{hofib}(P_n F \xrightarrow{q_n F} P_{n-1} F).$$

Terminology: An *admissible sequence* $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a (possibly infinite) strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that

(i) $x_1 = 1$

(ii) If $m | x_j$ then $\frac{x_j}{m} \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1}\}$

Note that if $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is an admissible sequence then so is $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$. Some examples of admissible sequences are: $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$, $\{1, p, p^2, \ldots\}$, $\{1, p, q, pq\}$ where p and q are prime. Any multiplicatively closed subset of $N^\times$ which contains all its prime divisors and 1 determines an admissible sequence and every admissible sequence is a subsequence of one obtained in this manner.

Given an admissible sequence $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n \ldots\}$, let $\vec{\mathcal{X}}_j \subseteq \mathbf{N}^\times$ be the full subcategory generated by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_j\}$. Thus, $\mathcal{X}_j \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{j+1}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{j+1}$ is covered (as in Proposition 5.6) by $\mathcal{X}_j$ and $\vec{x}_{j+1}$. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the full subcategory generated by all x_i's.

PROPOSITION 8.1: Given an admissible sequence $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ and an F–bimodule P, then

$$D_n(W_{\mathcal{X}_j}(F; P \otimes -)) \simeq \begin{cases} U^n(F; P \otimes -)_{hC_n} & \text{if } n \in \{x_1, \ldots, x_j\} \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$P_n(W_{\mathcal{X}_j}(F; P \otimes -)) \simeq \begin{cases} W_{\mathcal{X}_k}(F; P \otimes -) & \text{where } x_k \leq n < x_{k+1},\ k < j \\ W_{\mathcal{X}_j}(F; P \otimes -) & \text{where } x_j \leq n \end{cases}$$

$$D_n(W_{\mathcal{X}}(F; P \otimes -)) \simeq \begin{cases} U^n(F; P \otimes -)_{hC_n} & \text{if } n \in \{x_1, \ldots\} \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$P_n(W_{\mathcal{X}}(F; P \otimes -)) \simeq W_{\mathcal{X}_k}(F; P \otimes -) \quad \text{where } x_k \leq n < x_{k+1}$$

with structure maps $q_n : P_n \to P_{n-1}$ given by restriction to subcategories.

Proof: In order to ease notation, we will drop F and P from our notation so that $W_{\mathcal{X}}$ will represent $W_{\mathcal{X}}(F; P \otimes -)$.

We recall from [G3] the following facts about the functor P_n (at the basepoint): P_n preserves equivalences and fibrations of functors. For F ρ–analytic, the natural transformation $F \xrightarrow{p_n F} P_n F$ is universal with respect to mapping F to an n–excisive functor which agrees with it to order n, that is: so that for some constant q, $p_n F$ is at least $nk + q + k$–connected on k–connected spaces for $k \geq \rho$ (see [G3], just after the proof of Proposition 1.6).

We will use the fibration

$$U^{x_j}_{hC_{x_j}} \simeq \operatorname{hofib}[W_{\mathcal{X}_j} \xrightarrow{res} W_{\mathcal{X}_{j-1}}]$$

from Proposition 5.6. By Example 3.5 of [G2], $U^n_{hC_n}$ is an n–excisive functor. If $F'' \to F \to F'$ is a fibration of homotopy functors and both F'' and F' satisfy $E_n(c)$, then F satisfies $E_n(c)$ also. So by induction and Proposition 7.14, $W_{\mathcal{X}_j}$ is 0–analytic and x_j–excisive.

By the universality of P_nF, if F is 0-analytic and m-excisive, the natural transformation $F \to P_nF$ is an equivalence for all $n \geq m$ on all 0-connected spaces and so a stable equivalence for those n. Therefore $P_n(W_{\mathcal{X}_j}) \simeq W_{\mathcal{X}_j}$ for all $n \geq x_j$.

Now the connectivity condition in the universal property of P_n shows that $U^n_{hC_n}$ is in fact a *homogenous* n–excisive functor, *i.e.*

$$P_k(U^n_{hC_n}) = \begin{cases} U^n_{hC_n} & \text{if } k \geq n \\ * & \text{if } k < n. \end{cases}$$

Using the fibration of Proposition 5.6 again, this implies that $P_k(W_{\mathcal{X}_j}) \xrightarrow{P_k(res)} P_k(W_{\mathcal{X}_{j-1}})$ is an equivalence for $k < x_j$, so the first two results follow by induction on j.

As in Corollary 5.8, we see that $W_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{res} W_{\mathcal{X}_j}$ is $(x_{j+1}(k+1)-2)$ connected for X k–connected. Since $x_{j+1} \geq x_j + 1$, this implies $((x_j+1)(k+1)-2)$–connectedness, that is: $(x_jk + x_j - 1 + k)$–connectedness. Thus, by the universal property of p_{x_j}, $P_{x_j}W_{\mathcal{X}} \xrightarrow{res} P_{x_j}W_{\mathcal{X}_j}$ is an equivalence. The formula for the layers $D_nW_{\mathcal{X}}$ now follows by induction since we know the layers $D_nW_{\mathcal{X}_j}$ for all j and n.

Corollary 8.2: For any FSP F and linear bimodule P, one has

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(W(F;P\otimes -)) &\simeq U^n(F;P\otimes -)_{hC_n} \\ P_n(W(F;P\otimes -)) &\simeq W_n(F;P\otimes -) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(W^{(p)}(F;P\otimes -)) &\simeq \begin{cases} U^{p^k}(F;P\otimes -)_{hC_{p^k}} & \text{if } n = p^k \\ * & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ P_n(W^{(p)}(F;P\otimes -)) &\simeq W^{(p)}_k(F;P\otimes -) \quad \text{where } p^k \leq n < p^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

with structure maps $q_n : P_n \to P_{n-1}$ given by restriction to subcategories.

9. Relating $K(R \ltimes \tilde{M}[X])$ to $W(R; M \otimes \Sigma X)$

When R is an associative ring with unit and M is a simplicial R-bimodule, Dundas and McCarthy defined in [DMc1] the invariant $K(R;M)$. For M discrete, this is the algebraic K-theory of the exact category whose objects are (P,α), where P is a finitely generated projective R-module and $\alpha : P \to P \otimes_R M$ is a right R-module map, and whose morphisms from (P,α) to (Q,β) are right R-module homomorphisms $f : P \to Q$ such that $\beta \circ f = (f \otimes \mathbf{1}_M) \circ \alpha$. For M a simplicial R-bimodule, this definition is applied degreewise. In Theorem 4.1 there, Dundas and McCarthy show that there is a natural homotopy equivalence

$$K(R \ltimes M) \simeq K(R; B.M)$$

where $B.M \simeq \tilde{M}[S^1]$ is the bar construction on M. The functoriality of this identification means that the direct summand $K(R) = K(R; 0)$ maps compatibly to both sides, so we have a natural equivalence on the reduced theories $\tilde{K}(R \ltimes M) \simeq \tilde{K}(R; B.M)$ as well. The argument in [DMc1] goes on to show that topological Hochschild homology $\mathrm{THH}(R; M)$ is the first derivative at the one-point space $*$ of the functor $X \mapsto \tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[X])$.

Now Proposition 3.2 in [Mc1] says that the functor $X \mapsto \tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[X])$ is 0-analytic. We want to show that for connected X, this functor is naturally homotopy equivalent to the functor $X \mapsto W(\underline{R}; \overline{\tilde{M}[X]}) \simeq W(\underline{R}; \underline{M} \otimes X)$, which is also 0-analytic by Proposition 6.14 above. Here $\underline{R}$ is the FSP associated (as in the example after Definition 1.3) to the category having a single object and R as its morphism set, and $\underline{M}$ is the $\underline{R}$-bimodule sending $X \mapsto \tilde{M}[X]$. The equivalence $W(\underline{R}; \underline{M} \otimes X) \simeq W(\underline{R}; \underline{\tilde{M}[X]})$ is by Lemma 6.5 above.

It will turn out to be more convenient to map into $W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{M})$ instead of $W(\underline{R}; \underline{M})$, where $\mathcal{P}_R$ is the category of finitely generated projective right R-modules, and $\underline{M}$ is the $\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}$-bimodule given by

$$\underline{M}(A, B)(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(A; B \otimes_R M) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[X]$$

for all $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_R$, $X \in \mathcal{S}_*$, where $\mathcal{M}_R$ is the category of all right R-modules. The restriction of this $\underline{M}$ on $\mathcal{P}_R$ to the full subcategory on the rank 1 free module (which is isomorphic to the category R) is the $\underline{M}$ of the previous paragraph.

Lemma 9.1: Let R be an associative ring with unit and let M be a discrete R-bimodule. Then we can define maps

$$\tilde{K}(R; M) \xrightarrow{\beta_n} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{M})$$

for all $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathrm{Res}^{\frac{n}{m}} \circ \beta_n = \beta_m$ for all $m|n$ and such that β_1 is the map of Theorem 3.4 in [DMc1].

Proof: As defined in section 3 of [DMc1],

$$K(R; M) = \Omega|\coprod_{\overline{c} \in S.\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S.\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c}, \overline{c} \otimes_R M)|.$$

We will let

$$\mathcal{K}(R; M) = \coprod_{c \in \mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(c, c \otimes_R M).$$

It is easy to map

$$\mathcal{K}(R;M) \xrightarrow{b_n} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M})$$

for all $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathrm{Res}^{\frac{n}{m}} \circ b_n = b_m$ for all $m|n$ and such that b_1 is the map [DMc1] used at this level: send

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(c, c \otimes_R M) \to \underbrace{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(c, c \otimes_R M) \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(c, c \otimes_R M)}_{n \text{ times}}$$
$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\wedge n},$$

that is: map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}_R}(c, c \otimes_R M)$ into the multi-simplicial degree $(0,0,\ldots 0)$ part of $U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M})$ (where there are no $\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}$ coordinates, only bimodule coordinates), into the term corresponding to $\underline{X} = (0,0,\ldots,0)$ (since $\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S^0] = \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}}\mathbf{Z}$ does not do anything to an abelian group) by sending α to n copies of itself.

For each k, on S_k of Waldhausen's S-construction (as reviewed before Proposition 6.14 above) b_n induce maps

$$\coprod_{\overline{c} \in S_k\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_k\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c}, \overline{c} \otimes_R M) \to U^n(S_k\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; S_k\underline{M})$$
$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{\wedge n}$$

and so we get maps

$$K(R;M) = \Omega|\coprod_{\overline{c} \in S_{.}\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_{.}\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c}, \overline{c} \otimes_R M)| \longrightarrow \Omega|U^n(S_{.}\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; S_{.}\underline{M})| \simeq U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M})$$

by the equivalence of Proposition 6.14. These satisfy $\mathrm{Res}^{\frac{n}{m}} \circ \beta_n = \beta_m$ for all $m|n$ and generalize the construction of [DMc1].

Note that by naturality, these β_n send $K(R) = K(R;0) \to U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{0}) \simeq *$ and and so factor through reduced K-theory maps

$$\tilde{K}(R;M) \xrightarrow{\beta_n} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M}).$$

Recall that for simplicial R-bimodules N, $K(R;N)$ is defined by geometrically realizing the $K(R;N_n)$'s with respect to the maps induced by N's simplicial structure. Since the functors $U^n(F;-)$ commute with realizations, we could do the same for $U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{N})$. Therefore, Lemma 9.1 allows us to define maps

$$\tilde{K}(R;N) \xrightarrow{\beta_n} U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{N})$$

for any simplicial R-bimodule N, satisfying $\mathrm{Res}^{\frac{n}{m}} \circ \beta_n = \beta_m$ for all $m|n$ and generalizing the construction of [DMc1]. Since the β_n are compatible with the restriction maps, they define a map

$$\tilde{K}(R;N) \xrightarrow{\beta} W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{N}).$$

We will want to apply this for $N = \tilde{M}[X]$ for M a discrete R-bimodule and X a finite pointed simplicial set. Note that the FSP $\underline{\tilde{M}[X]}$ associated to the simplicial R-bimodule $\tilde{M}[X]$ is the same as the FSP $\underline{\tilde{M}}[X]$ of Example (iv) after Definition 1.5, and as such has an associated spectrum stably equivalent to that of $\underline{M} \otimes X$ of Example (iii), which has been studied in the previous section. The following Theorem will be proved in several steps.

Main Theorem 9.2: Let R be an associative ring with unit and let M be a discrete R-bimodule. Then the natural transformation

$$\tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[X]) \xrightarrow{\beta} W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$$

induces an equivalence when X is connected. Since by Proposition 6.13,

$$W(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X]) \simeq W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$$

is a homotopy equivalence (note that in the proof there are maps given in both directions), this gives a homotopy equivalence

$$\tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[X]) \xrightarrow{\simeq} W(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$$

for connected X.

Corollary 9.3: The Taylor tower of the functor $X \mapsto \tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[X])$ at $*$ has $W_n(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$ as its n'th stage, with the tower maps given by category restriction.

Proof of the Corollary: In Corollary 8.2 above, we have seen that the $W_n(R;M \otimes -)$ are the finite stages in the Taylor tower of $W(R;M \otimes -)$ at $*$, related by the category restriction maps. By Lemma 6.5, this means that the $W_n(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$ are the finite stages in the Taylor tower of $W(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$ at $*$, related by the same maps. The coefficients in the Taylor tower can be computed by looking arbitrarily close to the space at which we are working. See Remark 1.1 in [G3] for a discussion of this. So to find the Taylor tower of $X \mapsto \tilde{K}(R;\tilde{M}[X])$ it is enough to look at connected X, where Theorem 9.2 tells us it agrees with $W(\underline{R};\underline{\tilde{M}}[X])$.

Proof of the Theorem: We will use a variant of Theorem 5.3 of [G2]. Theorem 5.3 says that if two ρ-analytic functors F and G have a natural transformation between them which induces an equivalence of the differentials $D_X F \to D_X G$ at every space X, then for $(\rho+1)$-connected maps $X \to Y$ there is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G(X) \arrow[d] \\ F(Y) \arrow[r] & G(Y). \end{tikzcd}$$

The same proof shows that if two ρ-analytic functors F and G have a natural transformation between them which induces an equivalence of the differentials $D_X F \to D_X G$ at every ρ-connected space X (i.e. every X for which the map $X \to *$ is $(\rho+1)$-connected—see the comment just after Definition 1.3 in [G2]), then for every ρ-connected X there is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F(X) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & G(X) \arrow[d] \\ F(*) \arrow[r] & G(*). \end{tikzcd}$$

So we need to show that the natural transformation β induces an equivalence on the derivatives at all 0-connected spaces. Since $\tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[*]) \simeq W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{\tilde{M}}[*]) \simeq *$, this will imply that for any 0-connected X, β is an equivalence.

So let X be connected; we should consider spaces $Y \to X$ over X; but for simplicity of writing, we would like to eliminate the spaces from our calculation. In the following sections, we will prove

Technical Lemma 9.4: Let R be an associative ring with unit, and let M, N be two simplicial R-bimodules. If N is k-connected, β induces a $2k$-connected map

$$\operatorname{hofib}\big(\tilde{K}(R; B_. M \oplus B_. N) \to \tilde{K}(R; B_. M)\big) \to \operatorname{hofib}\big(W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{B_. M} \oplus \underline{B_. N}) \to W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{B_. M})\big).$$

Having this lemma lets us conclude the proof of Theorem 9.2: because of the obvious equivalences

$$\tilde{M}[X \vee A] \cong \tilde{M}[X] \oplus \tilde{M}[A]$$

and, for connected spaces Z, $\tilde{M}[Z] \cong B_.(\Omega \tilde{M}[Z])$ (for Ω a simplicial model of the loop space), Technical Lemma 9.4 would tell us that

$$\operatorname{hofib}\big(\tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[X \vee S^k]) \to \tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[X])\big) \to \operatorname{hofib}\big(W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{\tilde{M}}[X \vee S^k]) \to W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{\tilde{M}}[X])\big)$$

is $2(k-1)$-connected for all $k \geq 1$. But by the definition of a derivative at a space X of a functor (at the basepoint of X) from [G1] (for functors to spaces) and [G2] (section 5), the spectrum $k \mapsto \operatorname{hofib}\big(\tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[X \vee S^k]) \to \tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[X])\big)$ is equivalent to the derivative of the functor $\tilde{K}(R; \tilde{M}[-])$ at X, and similarly the spectrum $k \mapsto \operatorname{hofib}\big(W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{\tilde{M}}[X \vee S^k]) \to W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{\tilde{M}}[X])\big)$ is equivalent to the derivative of the functor $W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; \underline{\tilde{M}}[-])$ at X.

The $2(k-1)$-equivalences of the k'th spaces in these two spectra make the two of them equivalent, and thus the two derivatives agree, as we needed to show.

10. Reduction of Technical Lemma 9.4 to THH

In this section we are going to prove that for k-connected N, the two fibers in Technical Lemma 9.4 in are both $2k$–equivalent to $THH(\underline{R\ltimes M}, \underline{B.N})$. In section 11 we will prove that the trace map β induces the abstract equivalence obtained in this section.

LEMMA 10.1: Let R be an associative ring with unit, and let M and N be two simplicial R-bimodules, then

$$K(R\ltimes M; B.N) \simeq \operatorname{hofib}(\tilde{K}(R; B.M \oplus B.N) \longrightarrow \tilde{K}(R; B.M)).$$

Proof. Using the isomorphisms $B.M \oplus B.N \simeq B.(M \oplus N)$ and $R\ltimes(M\oplus N) \cong (R\ltimes M)\ltimes N$ the result follows from the commuting diagram whose vertical maps are equivalences by 4.1 of [DMc1] as well as the identification of the homotopy fiber on the bottom row:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{hofib} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \tilde{K}(R; B.M \oplus B.N) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \tilde{K}(R; B.M) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\tilde{K}(R\ltimes M; B.N) \arrow[r] & \tilde{K}((R\ltimes M)\ltimes N) \arrow[r] & \tilde{K}(R\ltimes M)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

We are interested in $\tilde{K}(R\ltimes M; B.N)$ in a $2k$–connected range when N is k-connected. By Theorem 3.4 of [DMc1], the trace map $\tilde{K}(R\ltimes M; B.N) \longrightarrow THH(\underline{R\ltimes M}; \underline{B.N})$ is $2(k+1)$–connected if N is k-connected. We let γ be the composite obtained using the natural splitting of the rows in (1):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tilde{K}(R, B.(M \oplus N)) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\gamma"] & \tilde{K}(R\ltimes(M \oplus N)) \cong \tilde{K}((R\ltimes M)\ltimes N) \arrow[d] \\
THH(\underline{R\ltimes M}; \underline{B.N}) & \tilde{K}(R\ltimes M; B.N) \arrow[l, "2(k+1)"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

PROPOSITION 10.2: For a ring R and simplicial R-bimodules M and N, using the natural map γ from (2), we obtain

$$\tilde{K}(R; B.M \oplus B.N) \xrightarrow{2(k+1)} THH(\underline{R\ltimes M}; \underline{B.N}) \times \tilde{K}(R; B.M).$$

In order to identify the homotopy fiber of the map

$$W(\underline{R};\underline{B.M}\oplus\underline{B.N})\longrightarrow W(\underline{R};\underline{B.M})$$

we first observe that by the multi-linearity of $U^n(F;-)$, for any FSP F and F-bimodules P_0 and P_1, we have a C_n-equivariant decomposition

$$U^n(F;P_0\oplus P_1)\xrightarrow{\simeq}\prod_{\alpha\in\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sets}}(\{1,2,\ldots,n\},\{0,1\})}U^n(F;P_{\alpha(1)},\ldots,P_{\alpha(n)}).$$

Thus, if P_1 is k-connected we have a $2k$-connected C_n–equivariant map

$$U^n(F;P_0\oplus P_1)\longrightarrow U^n(F;P_0)\times(C_n)_+\wedge U^n(R;P_0,\ldots,P_0,P_1). \tag{3}$$

Taking C_n fixed points, this gives a map

$$U^n(F;P_0\oplus P_1)^{C_n}\longrightarrow U^n(F;P_0)^{C_n}\times U^n(R;P_0,\ldots,P_0,P_1).$$

We obtain maps for all k dividing n,

$$U^n(F;P_0\oplus P_1)^{C_n}\xrightarrow{res}U^k(F;P_0\oplus P_1)^{C_k}\longrightarrow U^k(R;P_0\ldots,P_0,P_1)$$

and hence maps ϵ_n:

$$U^n(F;P_0\oplus P_1)^{C_n}\xrightarrow{\epsilon_n}U^n(F;P_0)^{C_n}\times\prod_{k|n}U^k(F;P_0,\ldots,P_0,P_1)$$

which take the restriction maps for the fixed points of the U's applied to $P_0\oplus P_1$ to the restriction maps of the U's applied to P_0 and the projections on the product.

Lemma 10.3: The map of homotopy inverse limits produced by the ϵ_n's:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
W(\underline{R};\underline{B.M}\oplus\underline{B.N}) \arrow[r, "\epsilon"] & \mathrm{holim}_{\mathbf{N}^\times}\Big(U^n(\underline{R};\underline{B.M})^{C_n}\times\prod_{k|n}U^k(\underline{R};\underline{B.M},\ldots,\underline{B.M},\underline{B.N})\Big) \arrow[d, "\cong"] \\
 & W(\underline{R};\underline{B.M})\ \times\ \prod_{a=0}^{\infty}U^a(\underline{R};\underline{B.M},\ldots,\underline{B.M},\underline{B.N})
\end{tikzcd}$$

is $2(k+1)-1$ connected if N is k-connected.

Proof. It is enough to show the map for each W_n to $\mathrm{holim}_{\{\leq n\}}$ is $2(k+1)$ connected. By Corollary 5.7 it is enough to show that the C_n-homotopy orbits of the maps in (3) are $2(k+1)$ connected, which they are since homotopy orbits preserve connectivity.

In order to relate $\prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U^a(\underline{R}; \underline{B.M}, \ldots, \underline{B.M}, \underline{B.N})$ to $THH(\underline{R \ltimes M}, \underline{B.N})$, a proposition motivated by the result in [L] will be useful. For $R \to S$ a map of FSP's and M an S-bimodule we can form a bi-simplicial spectrum

$$[p] \times [q] \;\mapsto\; U_q^{p+1}(R; S, \ldots, S, M)$$

where the q-direction has the usual (diagonal) simplicial structure of U and the p direction has the evident simplicial structure defined so that

$$U_0^{*+1}(R; S \ldots, S, M) \;\cong\; THH(S, M).$$

PROPOSITION 10.4: If $R \to S$ is a map of FSP's over the same underlying set and M is an S-bimodule, then the natural map

$$U^1(S, M) \longrightarrow U^{*+1}(R, S^*, M)$$

given by the inclusion of the zero simplicial dimension

$$U^{*+1}(R, S^*, M)_{[0]} = U^1(S, M)_{[*]}$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Since both theories are linear in the bimodule M variable it is enough to show that the map is an equivalence for $M = S \otimes X \otimes S$ for X a spectrum (and the tensor product taken over the sphere spectrum). More general bimodules M can be resolved by bimodules of this form, using the functor $X \mapsto S \otimes X \otimes S$ from spectra to S–bimodules and its adjoint, the forgetful functor. In this case one can"break" the circles to get

$$U^{n+1}(R, S^n, M)_{[*]} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X \otimes \overbrace{S \hat{\otimes}_R S \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes}_R S}^{n+2 \text{ times}}.$$

The induced structure maps (from the unused simplicial direction) are simply $X \otimes (\ )$ applied to the standard bimodule resolution of S as an R-algebra (i.e. multiplication on the"insides", no twists) and hence since this is homotopy equivalent to S again (using the extra degeneracy map), we get $X \otimes S$.

COROLLARY 10.5: For a ring R and simplicial R-bimodules M and N,

$$THH(\underline{R \ltimes M}; \underline{B.N}) \simeq \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U^a(\underline{R}; \underline{B.M}, \ldots, \underline{B.M}, \underline{B.N}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 10.4, we have

$$THH(\underline{R\ltimes M};\underline{B.N}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U^{*+1}(\underline{R};\underline{R\ltimes M^*},\underline{B.N}).$$

Using the multi-linearity of the U's, , if we let $S^k = \Delta^k/\partial$, we have by the calculations at the end of the next section an equivalence ρ_A of bisimplicial spectra

$$U^{*+1}(\underline{R};\underline{R\ltimes M^*},\underline{B.N}) \;\cong \prod_a^{\infty} U^a(\underline{R};\underline{M},\ldots,\underline{M},\underline{B.N})\otimes S^a.$$

Using the fact that $S^a \simeq \overbrace{S^1\wedge\ldots\wedge S^1}^{a\ times}$, $\underline{B.M}\simeq\underline{M}\otimes S^1$ and the multilinearity of the U's, we have that each $U^a(\underline{R};\underline{M},\ldots,\underline{M},\underline{B.N})\otimes S^a$ is equivalent to $U^a(\underline{R};\underline{B.M},\ldots,\underline{B.M},\underline{B.N})$ and hence the result.

Proposition 10.6: For a ring R and simplicial R-bimodules M and N, using the map ϵ and the equivalences of Lemma 10.3 and Corollary 10.5

$$W(\underline{R};\underline{B.M}\oplus\underline{B.N}) \xrightarrow{2k+1} THH(\underline{R\ltimes M};\underline{B.N})\times W(\underline{R};\underline{B.M}).$$

11. Proof that the trace induces the equivalence on section 10

In section 10 we showed that the two fibers used in Technical Lemma 9.4 agree in a $2k+1$ range if the bimodule N being considered was k–connected. In this section we will show that the trace map defined in section 9 induces the equivalence on the fibers in a $2k$ range as suggested by section 10. We now recall, from [Mc1], primarily page 218 and [DMc1], section 4 details that allow us to construct an unstable model for the composite γ used in Proposition 10.2. The following notation will be convenient for this purpose.

Notation. If $\mathcal{P}$ is a category of diagrams of projective right R–modules and $\mathcal{M}$ is the category of diagrams of the same form of right R–modules, we will for brevity write

$$R(P) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}}(P,P)$$

and

$$M_P = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(P, P\otimes_R M)$$

for any $P\in\mathcal{P}$ and any R–bimodule M.

We recall, using this notation, that for a discrete ring R,

$$\tilde{K}(R;M) = \text{stabilization w.r.t. Waldhausen's S–construction of } \;\mathcal{P}\mapsto \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}} M_P$$

on $\mathcal{P}_R$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.4 in [DMc1], the point here is that

$$K(R;M) = \Omega|\coprod_{\overline{c}\in S_.\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_.\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c},\overline{c}\otimes M)|$$

and

$$K(R) = K(R;0) = \Omega|S_.\mathcal{P}_R|.$$

By [W], the S-construction stabilizing maps induce equivalences

$$\Omega|S_.\mathcal{P}_R| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^2|S_.^{(2)}\mathcal{P}_R| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^3|S_.^{(3)}\mathcal{P}_R| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \cdots$$

and similarly

$$\Omega|\coprod_{\overline{c}\in S_.\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_.\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c},\overline{c}\otimes M)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Omega^2|\coprod_{\overline{c}\in S_.^{(2)}\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_.^{(2)}\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c},\overline{c}\otimes M)| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \cdots.$$

As is shown in the proof of Theorem 3.4 of [DMc1], for each p the map

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{hofib}\big(|\coprod_{\overline{c}\in S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c},\overline{c}\otimes M)| \to |S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{P}_R|\big)\\ &\quad\to \mathrm{hocofib}\big(|S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{P}_R| \xrightarrow{0} |\coprod_{\overline{c}\in S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c},\overline{c}\otimes M)|\big)\\ &\quad\simeq |\bigvee_{\overline{c}\in S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{P}_R} \mathrm{Hom}_{S_.^{(p)}\mathcal{M}_R}(\overline{c},\overline{c}\otimes M)|\end{aligned}$$

is $(2p-3)$–connected (where 0 is the map which sends every $\overline{c}$ to the zero map $\overline{c}\to\overline{c}\otimes M$), and thus the homotopy cofiber spectrum is the same as the spectrum $\tilde{K}(R;M)$.

By Proposition 6.14 and Corollary 6.17, we know that

$$U^n(R,M)^{C_n} = \text{stabilization w.r.t. the S–construction of} \quad \mathcal{P} \mapsto \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}} U_0^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}}|_P;\underline{M}|_P)^{C_n}$$

on $\mathcal{P}_R$. We observe that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}(P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M), P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M)\otimes_{R\ltimes M} N) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_R}(P, P\otimes_R N)$$

In other words,

$$N_{P\otimes_R R\ltimes M} \;\cong\; N_P$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}(P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M), P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M))\\ &\qquad\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_R}(P, P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_R}(P,P)\oplus\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}_R}(P,P\otimes_R M)\end{aligned}$$

and taking into account the composition product, we can write this as

$$R(P\otimes_R (R\ltimes M)) \cong R(P)\ltimes M_P.$$

Given $m\in M_P$, we let $(1,m)$ be the obvious isomorphism in $R(P)\ltimes M_P$. We note that $(1,m)\circ(1,m') = (1,m+m')$ and for $n\in N_P$, $(1,m)\circ n = n = n\circ(1,m)$. Thus, we have a representation

$$M_P \xrightarrow{1+\star} GL(R(P)\ltimes M_P)$$

whose image acts trivially on N_P. Here GL is used to indicate the invertible elements.

We obtain a natural simplicial map

$$N_P\times B_*M_P \xrightarrow{B_*(1+\star)} THH_*(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P};\underline{N_P})$$

where $\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}$, $\underline{N_P}$ are viewed as an FSP on the category consisting of one point associated to the ring $R(P)\ltimes M_P$, and the bimodule on that FSP associated to the $R(P)\ltimes M_P$–bimodule N_P. Note that

$$\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P} \cong \underline{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}|_{P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M)}$$

and

$$\underline{N_P} \cong \underline{N}|_{P\otimes_R(R\ltimes M)}.$$

Lemma 11.1: The natural transformation

$$\bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_R} THH_*(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P};\underline{N_P}) \xrightarrow{\star\otimes_R R\ltimes M} \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}} THH_*(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P};\underline{N_P})$$

(obtained by tensoring the indexing category $\star\otimes_R R\ltimes M$) is an equivalence after stabilization.

Proof. The domain of this map can be written as

$$\bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_R} U^1_*(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P};\underline{N_P}) = \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_R} U^1_*(\underline{R\ltimes M}|_P,\underline{N}|_P) = \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_R} U^{*+1}_0(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}|_P;\underline{R\ltimes M}|^*_P,\underline{N}|_P),$$

and so stabilizes to $U^{*+1}(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{R\ltimes M^*},\underline{N})$ by the non–equivariant analog of Corollary 6.17 which allows different bimodules in the $*+1$ bimodule positions (and is proved in exactly the same way).

The target of the map can be written as

$$\bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}} U^1_*(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P};\underline{N_P}) = \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}} U^1_*(\underline{R\ltimes M}|_P,\underline{N}|_P) = \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}} U^{*+1}_0(\underline{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}|_P;\underline{R\ltimes M}|^*_P,\underline{N}|_P),$$

and similarly stabilizes to $U^{*+1}(\underline{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N})$. On $\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}$, $\underline{R\ltimes M}$ is the same as $\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}$, but we write it in this way to keep the parallel clear. If we abbreviate the map $\star \otimes_R R\ltimes M$ to $t : \mathcal{P}_R \to \mathcal{P}_{R\otimes M}$, the map in the statement of the lemma stabilized to the obvious map induced by t, noting that $\underline{R\ltimes M}$ on $\mathcal{P}_R$ is the same as t^* of $\underline{R\ltimes M}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}$ and that $\underline{N}$ on $\mathcal{P}_R$ is the same as t^* of $\underline{N}$ on $\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}$. Thus the map we are interested in is the bottom horizontal map in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U^{*+1}(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}|_R; (t^*\underline{R\ltimes M})|^*_R, (t^*\underline{N})|_R) \arrow[r, "t_*"] \arrow[d] & U^{*+1}(\underline{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}|_{R\ltimes M}; \underline{R\ltimes M}|^*_{R\ltimes M}, \underline{N}|_{R\ltimes M}) \arrow[d] \\
U^{*+1}(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}; (t^*\underline{R\ltimes M})^*, t^*\underline{N}) \arrow[r, "t_*"] & U^{*+1}(\underline{\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the inclusion. By the non-equivariant analog of Proposition 6.13 which allows different bimodules in the $*+1$ bimodule positions (and is proved in exactly the same way), these vertical maps are equivalences, so to show that the bottom horizontal map is an equivalence, it suffices to show that the top horizontal map is. The top horizontal map is a map of U's of FSP's and bimodules over a one point set, and can also be written as the map

$$U^{*+1}(\underline{R}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N}) \xrightarrow{t_*} U^{*+1}(\underline{R\ltimes M}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N})$$

induced by t on the FSP's. It is an equivalence because of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_0^{*+1}(\underline{R}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N}) \arrow[r, phantom, "="] \arrow[d] & U_0^{*+1}(\underline{R\ltimes M}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N}) \arrow[d] \\
U^{*+1}(\underline{R}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N}) \arrow[r, "t_*"] & U^{*+1}(\underline{R\ltimes M}; \underline{R\ltimes M^*}, \underline{N})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the vertical maps are equivalences by the non-equivariant analog of Proposition 10.4 which allows different bimodules in the $*+1$ bimodule positions (and is proved in exactly the same way).

11.2. A Model for γ: The stabilization of the composite

$$\bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}} N_P \times B_* M_P \xrightarrow{1+\star} \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}} THH_*(R(P)\ltimes M_P; N_P) \xrightarrow{\star\otimes_R R\ltimes M} \bigvee_{P\in\mathcal{P}_{R\ltimes M}} THH_*(R(P)\ltimes M_P; N_P)$$

is a natural transformation from $K(R; N \oplus B.M)$ to $K(R\ltimes M; N)$. When N is replaced by $B.N$, this (by [DMc1] and [Mc1]) is a model for the natural transformation γ used in Proposition 10.2.

By the discussion in the beginning of section 9, for any R-bimodule M, the map of spaces (not spectra) from M_P to $U_0^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}, \underline{M})^{C_n}$

$$\begin{aligned}
m \;&\mapsto m \wedge m \wedge \cdots \wedge m \\
&\in [\ \underline{M}|_P(S^0) \wedge \cdots \wedge \underline{M}|_P(S^0)]^{C_n} \\
&\longrightarrow [\mathrm{holim}_{I^{k+1}} \Omega^{X_0\sqcup\ldots\sqcup X_k}(\underline{M}|_P(S^{X_0}) \wedge \underline{M}|_P(S^{X_1}) \wedge \ldots \wedge \underline{M}|_P(S^{X_k})]^{C_n}
\end{aligned}$$

stabilizes to the map $\tilde{K}(R;M) \longrightarrow U^n(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M})^{C_n}$ used in the construction of the trace map β. Using this and the definition of the maps ϵ_n in Lemma 10.3 we obtain the following.

11.3 A Model for the W fiber map: The composite

$$K(R;M\oplus N) \xrightarrow{\beta} W(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M\oplus N}) \longrightarrow \prod_{a=1}^{\infty} U^a(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R};\underline{M}^{a-1},\underline{N})$$

is equivalent to the stabilization of the natural transformation determined by the product of the maps β_a

$$\beta_a(m\times n) = \overbrace{m\wedge\cdots\wedge m}^{(a-1)\ times}\wedge n$$

$$(M\oplus N)_P \cong M_P\oplus N_P \xrightarrow{\beta_a} U_0^a(\underline{\mathcal{P}_R}|_P;\underline{M}|_P^{a-1},\underline{N}|_P)$$

for all $P\in\mathcal{P}_R$.

By the models in 11.2 and 11.3 and the equivalence in Corollary 10.5 it will suffice to to prove the following proposition to finish the proof of Technical Lemma 9.4.

Proposition 11.4: For R a ring and M and N R-bimodules, there are maps ρ_A which make the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
B.M_P\times N_P \arrow[r, "1+\star"] \arrow[d, "\prod_a \beta_a"] & THH(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P},\underline{N_P}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U_0^a(\underline{R(P)};\underline{B.M_P},\ldots,\underline{B.M_P},\underline{N_P}) \arrow[r, "\prod_a \rho_a"] \arrow[d] & \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U(a)_0(\underline{R(P)};\underline{M_P},\underline{N_P}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
\prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U_\star^a(\underline{R(P)};\underline{B.M_P},\ldots,\underline{B.M_P},\underline{N_P}) \arrow[r, "\prod_a \rho_a\ \simeq"] & \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U(a)_\star(\underline{R(P)};\underline{M_P},\underline{N_P})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where we define bisimplicial spectra $U(a)(\underline{R(P)};\underline{M_P},\underline{N_P})$ by

$$U(a)_\star^*(\underline{R(P)};\underline{M_P},\underline{N_P}) = \prod_{1\leq j_1<\cdots<j_a\leq *} U_\star^{*+1}(\underline{R(P)};F_1,\ldots,F_*,\underline{N_P})$$

with

$$F_t = \begin{cases} \underline{M_P} & \text{if } t\in\{j_1,\ldots,j_a\} \\ \underline{R(P)} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

In other words, if we break $\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}$ as a bimodule down into $\underline{R(P)}\oplus\underline{M_P}$, then $U(a)(\underline{R(P)};\underline{M_P},\underline{N_P})$ is the part of $THH(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P},\underline{N_P})$ which contains exactly a $\underline{M_P}$'s.

We recall from Proposition 10.4 the equivalence

$$THH_*(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \cong U_0^{*+1}(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U_\star^{*+1}(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}).$$

By the multi-linear property of the U, we see that we have a natural equivalence of bisimplicial spectra

$$U_\star^{*+1}(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U(a)_\star^*(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}).$$

Lemma 11.5: For all a, $U(a)_0^*(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U(a)_\star^*(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P})$.

Proof. We have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
U_0^{*+1}(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[d, "\simeq"] & \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U(a)_0^*(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \arrow[d, "\simeq"] \\
U_\star^{*+1}(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U(a)_\star^*(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P})
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are equivalences by the decomposition into homogeneous pieces as explained above and the left vertical map is an equivalence by Proposition 10.4. Thus, the right vertical map which makes the diagram commute, namely the inclusion, is an equivalence. However, this inclusion is a product of maps and hence each of them is an equivalence as well.

Composing $1+\star$ with the projection equivalence from $THH(\underline{R(P)\ltimes M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{a=0}^{\infty} U(a)(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P})$ we obtain simplicial maps

$$\eta^a : B_* M_P \times N_P \longrightarrow U(a)_0^*(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \tag{1}$$

In order to better express the maps η^a we make the following observations. Let $\mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([a],[k])$ be the set of surjective monotone maps from $[a]$ to $[k]$. For $\sigma \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([a],[k])$ and $1 \le j \le k$, we will write $\mu_j(\sigma) = \min\{\sigma^{-1}(j)\}$. We have an isomorphism of sets from $\mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([k],[a])$ to $\{1 \le j_1 < \ldots < j_k \le a\}$ given by sending $\sigma \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([a],[k])$ to $\{\mu_1(\sigma), \ldots, \mu_k(\sigma)\}$. With these conventions, the maps η^a are the composites:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n, m_1 \times \cdots \times m_k) \\
\mapsto & \prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([k],[a])} \sigma^*(n \wedge m_{\mu_1(\sigma)} \wedge \cdots \wedge m_{\mu_k(\sigma)}) \\
\in & \ \Omega^{0\sqcup\ldots\sqcup 0} \prod_{1 \le \mu_1(\sigma) < \ldots < \mu_k(\sigma) \le a} (\underline{N_P}(S^0) \wedge F_1[S^0] \wedge \ldots \wedge F_k[S^0]) \\
\longrightarrow & \ \mathrm{holim}_{I^{k+1}} \Omega^{x_0 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup x_k} \prod_{1 \le \mu_1(\sigma) < \ldots < \mu_k(\sigma) \le a} (\underline{N_P}(S^{x_0}) \wedge F_1[S^{x_1}] \wedge \ldots \wedge F_k[S^{x_k}]) \\
= & \ U(a)_0^k(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P})
\end{aligned}$$

where F_t are as, as before, $\underline{M_P}$ if $t = \mu_j(\sigma)$ for some j and $\underline{R(P)}$ otherwise, and where $\sigma^*(n \wedge m_{\mu_1(\sigma)} \wedge \cdots \wedge m_{\mu_k(\sigma)})$ has n in the 0'th coordinate, $m_{\mu_j(\sigma)}$ in the $\mu_j(\sigma)$ coordinate, $1 \leq j \leq a$, and 1_R in the others.

We now define, for all a, bi-simplicial maps (which are equivalences)

$$U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; (B_*\underline{M_P})^a, \underline{N_P}) \to U(a)^*_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}).$$

In order to do this, we first make a few general remarks about simplicial constructions and then apply them to this specific application.

Let $S^k = \Delta^k/\partial$ as a simplicial set. That is, $\Delta^k_{[n]} = \mathrm{Hom}_\Delta([n], [k])$ and ∂ is the usual subsimplicial set determined by the $k-1$ subskeleton. We also have the simplicial set

$$\overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{k\ times}$$

by which we mean the diagonal of the obvious k-fold multisimplicial set. We know that after realization, this is homeomorphic to S^k but there is not a simplicial map that realizes to a homeomorphism. There are exactly $k!$ simplcial maps from $\overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{k\ times}$ to S^k which realize to homotopy equivalences, one for each of the non-degenerate cells of $\overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{k\ times}$ in dimension k. We will be wanting to use one of these simplicial maps.

We can first look at S^k. It has one point in every simplicial dimension less than k. In dimension k it has one non-degenerate element corresponding to the identity on $[k]$. In dimension n larger than k it has an element for every ordered surjection from $[n]$ to $[k]$ and one other point, $*$. Thus, we can write

$$S^k_{[n]} = \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([n], [k])_+$$

Now we want to write down simplicial maps from $\overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{k\ times}$ to S^k which are homotopy equivalences. The critical dimension is k. Given a sequence $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k)$ of surjections $[n] \to [1]$, we can associate a sequence of non-zero positive integers by looking at the cardinality of the inverse image of 0, i.e. $\{|\tau_1^{-1}(0)|, |\tau_2^{-1}(0)|, \ldots, |\tau_k^{-1}(0)|\}$. However, we can also express this as $\{\mu_1(\tau_1), \mu_1(\tau_2), \ldots, \mu_1(\tau_k)\}$ since $\mu_1(\tau) = \min(\tau^{-1}(1)) = |\tau^{-1}(0)|$. A sequence

$$(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k) \in \overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1_{[k]}}^{k\ times}$$

is non-degenerate if and only if the sequence $\{\mu_1(\tau_1), \mu_1(\tau_2), \ldots, \mu_1(\tau_k)\}$ has no repeated terms.

We define α to be the simplicial map from $\overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{k \textit{ times}}$ to S^k as follows: On the $k-1$ skeleton it must be trivial, in simplicial dimension k it takes the non-degenerate simplex whose sequence is $(1, 2, \ldots, k)$ to the identity in $S^k_{[k]}$ and all others to the basepoint. This determines a map of the k-skeletons and hence by extension of degeneracies a map of the simplicial sets (as both have only degenerate simplicies in dimensions greater than k).

We actually need to understand α explicitly in all simplicial dimensions. To do this, we simply need to see how degeneracies operate in both settings. When we do, we find that we can describe α as follows: a simplex $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k \in \overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1_{[n]}}^{k \textit{ times}}$ is sent to the basepoint if its associated sequence $\{m_1(\tau_1), m_1(\tau_2), \ldots, m_1(\tau_k)\} \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}^k$ is not monotone increasing. If it is monotone increasing, then it is sent to the monotone surjection $\alpha(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n) \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([n], [k])$ given by:

$$\mu_j(\alpha(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n)) = \mu_1(\tau_j).$$

We now return to constructing bi-simplicial equivalences

$$\rho_a : U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; B_*\underline{M_P}, \ldots, B_*\underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \longrightarrow U(a)^*_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}).$$

We observe that because U^n commutes with realizations in each of its bimodule variables, we have a simplicial map which is an equivalence in each simplicial dimension:

$$U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; B_*\underline{M_P}, \ldots, B_*\underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \ldots, \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \otimes \overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{a \textit{ times}}. \tag{2}$$

Applying the simplicial map α we obtain an equivalence

$$U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \ldots, \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \otimes \overbrace{S^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge S^1}^{a \textit{ times}} \xrightarrow{\alpha\ \simeq} U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \ldots, \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \otimes S^a.$$

We have a bi-simplicial map which is a homeomorphism

$$U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \ldots, \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \otimes S^a_* \longrightarrow U(a)^*_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([k],[a])} U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \ldots, \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}) \\ &\mapsto \prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([k],[a])} \sigma^*(U^a_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \ldots, \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P})) = U^k_\star(\underline{R(P)}; \underline{M_P}, \underline{N_P}). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We define ρ_a to be the composite of these equivalences.

Proof of Proposition 11.4. It is enough to show $\eta^a = \rho_a \circ \beta_a$ for all a where η^a is the piece of $1{+}\star$ as defined in (1) and β_a was defined in 11.3. Let $(m_1, \ldots, m_k, n) \in B_k M_P \times N_P$. Then $\beta_a(m_1, \ldots, m_k, n)$ is the image in the homotopy colimit of the element $n \wedge (m_1 \times \cdots \times m_k)^{\wedge a}$. Via the equivalence in (2), this element is mapped to the element in the homotopy colimit repesented by

$$\prod_{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_a \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([k],[1])} (n \wedge m_{\mu_1(\gamma_1)} \wedge m_{\mu_1(\gamma_2)} \wedge \ldots \wedge m_{\mu_1(\gamma_a)})$$

The simplicial map α composed with the map in (3) will send this element to the image of the element in the colimit of $\prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Surj}_\Delta([k],[a])} \sigma^*(n \wedge m_{\mu_1(\sigma)} \wedge \cdots \wedge m_{\mu_k(\sigma)})$ which is the image of η^a.

Bibliography

[B] Marcel Bökstedt, *Topological Hochschild homology*, Preprint, 1985.

[BHM] Marcel Bökstedt, Wu-Chung Hsiang, and Ib Madsen, *The cyclotomic trace and algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Invent. Math, **111** (1993), 465–539.

[BK] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, Homotopy limits, completions and localizations, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.

[D] Bjorn Ian Dundas, *Relative K-theory and topological cyclic homology.*, Acta Math., **179** (1997), no. 2, 223–242.

[DMc1] Bjorn Ian Dundas and Randy McCarthy, *Stable K-theory and topological Hochschild homology*, Annals of Mathematics, **140** (1994), 685–701.

[DMc1–err] Bjorn Ian Dundas and Randy McCarthy, *Erratum Stable K-theory and topological Hochschild homology*, Annals of Mathmatics, **142** (1995), 425–426.

[DMc2] Bjorn Ian Dundas and Randy McCarthy,*Topological Hochschild homology of ring functors and exact categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **109** (1996), no. 3, 231–294.

[G] Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Notes on the cyclotomic trace*, notes from a lecture series given at MSRI during the spring of 1990.

[G1] Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Calculus I. The first derivative of pseudoisotopy theory*, K-Theory **4** (1990), no. 1, 1–27.

[G2] Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Calculus II. Analytic functors*, K-Theory **5** (1991/92), no. 4, 295–332.

[G3] Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Calculus III. Taylor series*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 645–711.

[L] Ayelet Lindenstrauss, *A relative spectral sequence for topological Hochschild homology of spectra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **148** (2000), no. 1, 77–88.

[M] Ib Madsen, *Algebraic K-theory and traces*, Current developments in mathematics, 1995 (Cambridge, MA), 191–321, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

[Mc1] Randy McCarthy, *Relative algebraic K-theory and topological cyclic homology*, Acta Math., 179 (1997), 197–222.

[Mc2] Randy McCarthy, *A Chain Complex for the Spectrum Homology of the Algebraic K-theory of an Exact Category*, Fields Institute Communications, Vol. **16**, 1997, 199–220.

[PW] Teimuraz Pirashvili and Friedhelm Waldhausen, *Mac Lane homology and topological Hochschild homology*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **82**, (1992), no.1, 81–98.

[W] Friedhelm Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1126** (1985), 318–419.